The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem on Riemannian Manifolds

Yin Li

Abstract

This expository paper contains a detailed introduction to some important works concerning the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. The study of this theorem has a long history dating back to Gauss's Theorema Egregium (Latin: Remarkable Theorem) and culminated in Chern's groundbreaking work [\[14\]](#page-56-0) in 1944, which is a deep and wonderful application of Elie Cartan's formalism. The idea and tools in [\[14\]](#page-56-0) have a great generalization and continue to produce important results till today.

In this paper, we give four different proofs of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem on Riemannian manifolds, namely Chern's simple intrinsic proof, a topological proof, Mathai-Quillen's Thom form proof and McKean-Singer-Patodi's heat equation proof. These proofs are related with remarkable developments in differential geometry such as the Chern-Weil theory, theory of characteristic classes, Mathai-Quillen's formalism and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. It is through these brilliant achievements the great importance and influence of Chern's insights and ideas are shown. Our purpose here is to use the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem as a guide to expose the reader to some advanced topics in modern differential geometry.

In Memory of Professor S.S. Chern (1911-2004)

The master does his job and then stops. He understands that the universe is forever out of control, and that trying to dominate events goes against the current of the Tao. Because he believes in himself, he doesn't try to convince others. Because he is content with himself, he doesn't need others' approval. Because he accepts himself, the whole world accepts him.

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Two Viewpoints of the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian surface and K its Gaussian curvature, $P: F \to M$ a diffeomorphism of a polygon F onto a subset of M, α_i the exterior angles of the vertices of $P(F)$, and κ_g the geodesic curvature of the positively oriented curve ∂P . The classical Gauss-Bonnet theorem says that ([\[19\]](#page-56-1)):

$$
\int_{P} K dA + \int_{\partial P} \kappa_{g} ds + \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} = 2\pi.
$$
 (1)

Given a triangulation of M , it is then easy to deduce from (1) that

$$
\int_{M} K \mathrm{d}A = \chi(M),\tag{2}
$$

where $\chi(M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of M.

[\(1\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2\)](#page-2-3) respectively give the two viewpoints of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem in history.

In fact, let P be a geodesic triangle, then (1) becomes

$$
\int_{P} K \mathrm{d}A + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i = 2\pi,\tag{3}
$$

so it reveals the relationship between the Gaussian curvature and the "angular excess", i.e., the sum of the interior angles of a geodesic triangle minus the sum of the interior angles of a triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 .

On the other hand, (2) gives the relatively modern viewpoint of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, which says that the most important topological invariant of M , i.e., the Euler characteristic, is given by the so called "curvature integral". For the fundamental role the Euler characteristic plays in topology and geometry, I strongly recommend the reader to read [\[17\]](#page-56-2).

Heinz Hopf proposed in the late 1920s the question of generalizing the Gauss-Bonnet theorem [\(2\)](#page-2-3) to all even dimensions. This problem was first solved by Allendoerfer and Weil in 1943. In [\[4\]](#page-55-0), they proved the following analogue of [\(1\)](#page-2-2) for all Riemannian manifolds:

$$
(-1)^{d} \chi'(P) = \int_{P} \Psi(z) \, \text{dvol}_{z} + \sum_{i} \int_{\partial P_{i}} \int_{\Gamma(\zeta)} \Psi(\zeta, d\xi) \, \partial P_{i} \, \text{dvol}_{\zeta}, \tag{4}
$$

where

$$
\Psi(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{2^d \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)! g}.
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma_1 \cdot \text{sgn } \sigma_2 R_{\sigma_1(1)\sigma_1(2)\sigma_2(1)\sigma_2(2)} \cdots R_{\sigma_1(d-1)\sigma_1(d)\sigma_2(d-1)\sigma_2(d)}, \quad (5)
$$

 $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M$, R_{ijkl} is the Riemann curvature tensor with respect to the metric g_{ij} , $g = \det(g_{ij})$. Σ_d denotes the set of all the permutations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ and sgn denotes the signature of a given permutation. dvol is the Riemannian volume element, P is a differentiable Riemannian polyhedron, whose boundary consists of Riemannian polyhedra ∂P_i , $\Gamma(\zeta)$ is a subset of the unit sphere centering at ζ which generalizes the exterior angle of ∂P_i at ζ in the case of a Riemannian surface, the term $\Psi(\zeta, d\xi | \partial P_i)$ is a measure of the curvature of ∂P_i which generalizes the geodesic curvature of a curve on a Riemannian surface, and χ' is the interior Euler characteristic, which is the Euler characteristic computed only on the interior faces of a triangulation.

Actually, [\(3\)](#page-3-0) is the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian manifolds with

boundary. Using [\(3\)](#page-3-0), they then deduced the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian manifolds without boundary as follows, which is a generalization of (2) :

$$
\int_{M} \Psi(z) \, \mathrm{dvol}_{z} = \chi(M). \tag{6}
$$

However, the paper of Allendoerfer and Weil is very complicated and involves equally complicated works of Allendoerfer([\[3\]](#page-55-1)), Fenchel([\[21\]](#page-56-3)) and Weyl([\[37\]](#page-57-0)). Moreover, they used in their proof the local isometric embedding of the Riemannian manifolds into the Eucildean spaces, which seems unnatural for proving an intrinsic formula.

It was Chern who made the Gauss-Bonnet theorem [\(6\)](#page-4-1) widely known. His simple intrinsic proof in 1944 not only gave a beautiful and profound proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, but also enlightened the whole field of global differential geometry. In his 6-page paper [\[14\]](#page-56-0), he invented the technique now called transgression, introduced curvature to topology, and showed the importance and usefulness of the concept of a fiber bundle in differential geometry.

It is the aim of this expository paper to review Chern's great paper [\[14\]](#page-56-0) together with some other important developments on the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. As an undergraduate student interested in differential geometry, the author write this paper to learn, and also to dedicate it to the memory of Professor S.S. Chern on the occasion of his 100th birthday. I sincerely hope that he would like it.

1.2 Arrangement of This Paper

I have endeavored to write this article in a self-contained way, only assume the reader know elementary analysis, geometry and topology. The reader who don't familiar with these materials may refer to [\[12\]](#page-56-4), [\[26\]](#page-57-1) and [\[30\]](#page-57-2). We shall work with real coefficients unless otherwise mentioned.

For clarity, we state two basic topological facts in §2, namely the elementary singularity theory of a unit vector field and the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem. They will be used in Chern's intrinsic proof in §3.

§3 is devoted to Chern's paper [\[14\]](#page-56-0). However, we will briefly mention the Chern-Weil theory at the end of this section since it is a natural generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem under the general framework of the Cartan formalism and gives enough motivations for the topological approach to the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem which we will discuss in §4. A brief account of Cartan's method of moving frames is given at the beginning of this section since most of the text books on Riemannian geometry are written in the language of tensor analysis.

§4 contains two proofs of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem using the Euler form and the Thom form respectively, in the spirit of the Chern-Weil theory. The first proof is a new proof based on an explicit expression of the Euler class by transition functions and generalizes the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem for a metric-compatible connection on oriented Riemannian vector bundles. The second proof follows directly from Mathai and Quillen's geometric construction of the Thom form in [\[28\]](#page-57-3). We shall develop in detail the topological backgrounds for understanding these proofs at the beginning of §4.

In §5 we present an analytic approach to the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, namely by using the heat equations. Although this proof is a little lengthy, it is a powerful method in mordern differential geometry. We will construct the parametrix and the heat kernel in full detail and the McKean-Singer conjecture will be raised in a natural way. The proof will be completed by using a classical tensor calculus method developed by Patodi in his phD thesis [\[32\]](#page-57-4), since this gives the most self-contained proof.

Although this paper contains no new result, several proofs are new and some simplifications have been made to original papers. Noteworthy is our treatment for the Euler class in §4.1, which is different from other standard references, it simplifies our exposition in many places.

2 Topological Preliminaries

References for this section are [\[1\]](#page-55-2), [\[12\]](#page-56-4), [\[27\]](#page-57-5) and [\[30\]](#page-57-2).

2.1 Singularities of A Unit Vector Field

Let S be an oriented sphere bundle over a differentiable manifold M. Although in general S does not admit a global section, a well-known result in differential topology says that there may be a section s over the complement of an isolated set $I \subset M$, i.e., $s \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, S)$. The points in I are called singularities of s.

Theorem 2.1.1. Let $\pi : S \to M$ be a $(d-1)$ -sphere bundle over a closed manifold M of dimension d. Suppose that the structure group of S can be reduced to the orthogonal group $O(d, \mathbb{R})$, then there exists a smooth map $s: M \to S$ such that $s \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, S)$, where I is discrete. **REMARKS**

• Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1.1, the sphere bundle S is induced by a vector bundle E. Suppose $s' \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, S)$, then we can set $s = s'$ on $M \setminus I$ and $s = 0$ on I to produce an $s \in \Gamma(M, E)$ with the zero set

I. Thus Theorem 2.1.1 can be restated for vector bundles and zeros of its sections.

• It follows from the basic facts of the obstruction theory that the assumption "the structure group of S can be reduced to $O(d, \mathbb{R})$ " can be removed. See [\[36\]](#page-57-6).

2.2 Poincaré-Hopf Index Theorem

The Poincaré-Hopf index theorem plays a pivotal role in Chern's proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem in [\[14\]](#page-56-0), since it localizes the global topological information of the manifold using the zeros of a vector field. To state this theorem, we need some basic concepts which give analytic descriptions of the zeros of a vector field.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $f : M \to N$ be a smooth map between two closed oriented manifolds of dimension d . Then f defines a pullback on de Rham cohomology $f^*: H^d_{\text{dR}}(N,\mathbb{R}) \to H^d_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$. Let ω be the generator of $H^d_{\text{dR}}(N,\mathbb{R})$, then the mapping degree of f is defined to be $\int_M f^*\omega$.

Suppose S is a sphere bundle over a closed oriented d -dimensional Riemannian manifold M and $s \in \Gamma(U \setminus \{x\}, S)$. Suppose U is chosen small enough so that $U \setminus \{x\} \simeq \mathbb{B}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $S|_{U \setminus \{x\}} \simeq (U \setminus \{x\}) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, where \simeq denotes the diffeomorphism and $\mathbb{B}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the standard Euclidean ball. Let $B_r \subset M$ denote the preimage of $r \mathbb{B}^d$ under the trivialization of M, then B_r is clearly oriented. Choose the orientation on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} such that the diffeomorhism $S|_{B_r} \simeq B_r \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is orientation preserving, where $B_r \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given the product orientation.

Definition 2.2.2. The local degree of the section $s \in \Gamma(U \setminus \{x\}, S)$ at x is defined to be the mapping degree of the composition

$$
\partial \overline{B_r} \xrightarrow{s} S|_{B_r} = \overline{B_r} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{S}^{d-1},\tag{7}
$$

where p is the projection and $\overline{B_r}$ is the closure of B_r .

Definition 2.2.3. Let X be a vector field with discrete zeros on M , then the *index* of X at a zero $x \in I$ is defined to be the local degree at x of the section $\frac{X}{\|X\|} \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, SM)$, where SM denotes the projective sphere bundle relative to some Riemannian metric of M .

Denote by $\text{ind}_X(x)$ the index of the vector field X at x, we state the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.2.4. (Poincaré-Hopf) Let the set I be defined as above and suppose it is chosen to be discrete. M is a closed oriented manifold and X

is a vector field on M, then

$$
\chi(M) = \sum_{x_i \in I} \text{ind}_X(x_i). \tag{8}
$$

REMARKS

- An analytic proof of this theorem was obtained by Witten in his famous paper [\[38\]](#page-57-7), see also [\[41\]](#page-58-0) for an exposition of his proof. An alternative analytic proof based on the idea of [\[2\]](#page-55-3) was found in [\[40\]](#page-58-1).
- The Poincaré-Hopf index theorem is the simplest example of the localization theorems which relate the characteristic numbers with zeros of a vector field. The most famous example among these theorems is the Bott residue formula [\[11\]](#page-56-5). However, it turns out that the Bott residue formula holds even when the zero set of a vector field is not discrete, this amazing extension was made in [\[5\]](#page-55-4). See also [\[10\]](#page-56-6), [\[18\]](#page-56-7) and [\[41\]](#page-58-0) for expositions of these results.
- An extension of this theorem will be made in §4.2 as a byproduct of the generalized Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, see [\(110\)](#page-29-1).

3 A Simple Intrinsic Proof

3.1 Maurer-Cartan Equations

Here we recall briefly the method of moving frames and the corresponding structure equations. Of course the standard references for these topics are [\[13\]](#page-56-8) and [\[19\]](#page-56-1). For a modern introduction to differential geometry via moving frames, the reader may refer to [\[25\]](#page-57-8) and [\[35\]](#page-57-9).

We begin with the general case when $\pi : P \to M$ is a principal G-bundle over a d-dimensional differentiable manifold M . Let $\mathfrak g$ denote the Lie algebra of G, then it can be identified with the tangent space T_eG , where e is the unit element of G. Suppose $\{U_{\alpha}\}\$ is an open cover of M on which P is trivial, then there exist diffeomorphsims $\varphi_{\alpha} : \pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \to U_{\alpha} \times G$ such that

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(y) = \Big(\pi(y), p_{\alpha}(y)\Big), p_{\alpha}(g \cdot y) = g \cdot p_{\alpha}(y), \forall g \in G.
$$
\n(9)

The group formed by the transition functions ${g_{\alpha\beta}}$ of P can be identified with a subgroup of G , then we have in G the relation

$$
p_{\alpha}(y)g_{\alpha\beta} = p_{\beta}(y). \tag{10}
$$

Let R_g be the right translation $h \to h \cdot g$, where $g, h \in G$, and $Ad(g)$ be the adjoint action in g defined by

$$
\exp_e\left(\text{Ad}(g)X\right) = g(\exp_e X)g^{-1}, g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{g},\tag{11}
$$

we now introduce the concept of a Cartan connection.

Definition 3.1.1. Let π : $P \rightarrow M$ be a principal G-bundle, a *Cartan* connection ∇^P on P is given by a g-valued 1-form $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha}$ on every U_{α} such that

$$
\theta_{\alpha} = (R_{g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}})_* \mathrm{Id}(T_{g_{\alpha\beta}}G) + \mathrm{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta})\theta_{\beta} \text{ in } U_{\alpha} \bigcap U_{\beta},\tag{12}
$$

where Id($T_{g_{\alpha\beta}}G$) denotes the identity endomorphism of $T_{g_{\alpha\beta}}G$. Note that $(R_{g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}})_* \text{Id}(\widetilde{T_{g_{\alpha\beta}}G})$ can be identified with a g-valued 1-form on $U_{\alpha} \bigcap U_{\beta}$. Define $\Theta_{\alpha} \in \Gamma(U_{\alpha}, \Lambda^2 T^*M) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$
\Theta_{\alpha} = d\theta_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha}], \qquad (13)
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket for **g**-valued differential forms defined by

$$
[X \otimes \omega, Y \otimes \theta] = [X, Y] \otimes (\omega \wedge \theta), X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \omega, \theta \in \Lambda^* T^* M. \tag{14}
$$

[\(13\)](#page-8-0) is called the *Maurer-Cartan equations* and $\{\theta_{\alpha}\}\$ are called *Maurer*-Cartan forms. $\{\Theta_{\alpha}\}\$ are by definition the curvature forms of the Cartan geometry.

Now suppose the restriction $P|_{U_{\alpha}}$ is a Lie group, then one easily obtains (c.f. [\[20\]](#page-56-9))

$$
d\theta_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha}].
$$
\n(15)

This is the Maurer-Cartan equation for Lie groups. It shows that the curvature forms of the Cartan geometry are complete local obstructions to P being a Lie group. By [\(12\)](#page-8-1), we deduce the local transition formulas

$$
\Theta_{\alpha} = \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta})\Theta_{\beta} \text{ in } U_{\alpha} \bigcap U_{\beta}.
$$
 (16)

Exterior differentiation of [\(13\)](#page-8-0) gives the Bianchi identities

$$
d\Theta_{\alpha} = [\theta_{\alpha}, \Theta_{\alpha}]. \tag{17}
$$

We now apply the above method to Riemannian geometry. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with dimension d . In this case, the principal G-bundle P over M can be produced by moving an orthogonal frame over M, i.e., $G = O(d, \mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$
\mathfrak{o}(d,\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{gl}(d,\mathbb{R}) : \langle Xv,w \rangle + \langle v,Xw \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v,w \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}, \quad (18)
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{gl}(d,\mathbb{R}) = \{X : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \text{ linear}\}\
$$
 (19)

denotes the Lie algebra of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$.

Therefore $\left\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)\right\}$ can be identified with orthogonal matrices and [\(12\)](#page-8-1) reduces to the matrix equation

$$
\theta_{\alpha} = dg_{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} + \text{Ad}(g_{\alpha\beta})\theta_{\beta}.
$$
\n(20)

This shows that in this case, a connection ∇^P on P is given by a $d \times d$ matrix of 1-forms $\omega = (\omega_i^j)$ i^{\prime}) on each U_{α} , where $\{U_{\alpha}\}\)$ is an open covering of M which trivializes P. We shall call ω the *connection matrix* of ∇^P . By [\(18\)](#page-9-0), we get in addition that ω is skew-symmetric, i.e.:

$$
\omega_i^{\ j} + \omega_j^{\ i} = 0. \tag{21}
$$

Using [\(14\)](#page-8-2), we write the Maurer-Cartan equations in this case as

$$
\Omega_i^{\ j} = d\omega_i^{\ j} - \omega_i^{\ k} \wedge \omega_k^{\ j},\tag{22}
$$

where Ω_i^j are entries of the corresponding *curvature matrix* $\Theta = (\Omega_i^j)$. Here and in the sequel, we shall adopt the Einstein summation convention. [\(21\)](#page-9-1) and [\(22\)](#page-9-2) are known as the fundamental lemma of Riemannian geometry.

By [\(13\)](#page-8-0) and [\(21\)](#page-9-1), it follows that the curvature matrix is also skew-symmetric:

$$
\Omega_i^{\ j} + \Omega_j^{\ i} = 0. \tag{23}
$$

Similarly, the Bianchi identities now becomes

$$
d\Omega_i^j + \omega_j^k \wedge \Omega_k^i - \omega_i^k \wedge \Omega_k^j = 0.
$$
 (24)

This is the Riemannian geometry via moving frames. **REMARKS**

• When $G = O(d, \mathbb{R}), \{p_{\alpha}(y)\}\$ in [\(9\)](#page-7-2) can be identified with orthogonal matrices. Denote by $p^1_\alpha(y)$ the matrix formed by the first row of $p_\alpha(y)$, then [\(10\)](#page-7-3) restricts to the relation

$$
p^1_\alpha(y)g_{\alpha\beta} = p^1_\beta(y). \tag{25}
$$

It follows that we can construct a vector bundle E with $\{g_{\alpha\beta}(x)\}\$ as its transition functions. E is called the *associated vector bundle* of P and P will be referred to as the orthogonal frame bundle of E. Combine [\(20\)](#page-9-3) and [\(25\)](#page-9-4) to get

$$
(dp^1_\alpha + p^1_\alpha \theta_\alpha)g_{\alpha\beta} = dp^1_\beta + p^1_\beta \theta_\beta.
$$
 (26)

From [\(26\)](#page-10-0) it follows that every connection ∇^P on the principal $O(d, \mathbb{R})$ bundle P induces a connection ∇^E on its associated vector bundle E, and ∇^E is uniquely determined by

$$
\nabla^E p_\alpha^1 = dp_\alpha^1 + p_\alpha^1 \theta_\alpha. \tag{27}
$$

Moreover, by [\(21\)](#page-9-1), ∇^E must be metric compatible. This restriction on ∇^E is essential for our proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, as we will see later.

It is a trivial fact that every metric connection ∇^E on a vector bundle E also induces uniquely a connection on its orthogonal frame bundle P, therefore the equivalence between studying the metric connections on a vector bundle and the method of moving frames has been showed. More generally, for $G = GL(d, \mathbb{C})$, every connection ∇^P on the principal $GL(d, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle P can be identified with a unique connection ∇^E on the associated Hermitian vector bundle E, here ∇^E may not be metric compatible.

• We now move the orthogonal frames in the tangent bundle TM and still use $\omega = (\omega_i^{\ j}$ i_j) and $\Theta = (\Omega_i)^j$ to denote the corresponding connection and curvature matrices. As we have seen, this is equivalent to studying a metric connection on TM. Now let $\{O, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be our local frames and **O** be the position vector of *O*. The connection of $e_i \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, TM)$ is given by

$$
de_i = \omega_i^{\ j} e_j. \tag{28}
$$

Since **O** can be identified with a vector in the linear space span $\{e_1, \cdots\}$ \cdot , e_d , we can take its exterior differential

$$
d\mathbf{O} = \omega^i e_i, \omega^i \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, T^*M). \tag{29}
$$

It is easy to verify that if

$$
d\omega^i = \omega^j \wedge {\omega_j}^i,\tag{30}
$$

then the connection ∇^{TM} induced by ∇^P , where P is the orthogonal frame bundle of TM, is torsion free and is therefore the Levi-Civita connection. We will see that the torsion freeness of ∇^{TM} is not required in the proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.

Now suppose that ∇^{TM} is the Levi-Civita connection, let ω and Θ be the corresponding connection and curvature matrices respectively. We have the following simple relation between the curvature 2-forms and the components of the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$
\Omega_i^{\ j} = R_{i\;kl}^{\ j} d\omega^k \wedge d\omega^l. \tag{31}
$$

In fact, the deep relationship between differential forms and algebraic topology makes the curvature forms more convenient than the curvature tensor in global differential geometry, this is formalized in the Chern-Weil theory in the late 1940s. Chern's simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem is actually a perfect example of the Chern-Weil theory which shows that the Euler class can be expressed by the Pfaffian of the curvature forms. These remarks will be made rigorously in §3.3.

3.2 Chern's Original Proof

Chern's proof is very beautiful and conceptual. We shall first sketch out the basic steps of his proof and then carry out the details step by step. We assume here that M is a d-dimensional closed oriented Riemannian manifold, here d is an even number.

SKETCH OF THE PROOF

- Let I be as in §2.1, take an $S \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, SM)$, i.e., a unit vector field with possibly isolated singularities. The existence of such a section is guaranteed by Theorem 2.1.1. We shall identify S with $\mathcal{S}(M \setminus I)$.
- Extract small balls $\bigcup_{x_i \in I} \overline{B(x_i)}$ about these singularities, so S is welldefined on $M \setminus \bigcup_{x_i \in I} \overline{B(x_i)}$.
- Define the Gauss-Bonnet integrand Ω , i.e., an intrinsic d-form formed by the curvature 2-forms. This is a generalization of the form KdA in the surface case, see [\(1\)](#page-2-2).
- Transgression. Use the projection $\pi : SM \to M$ to pull Ω back to SM and establish the transgression formula $\pi^*\Omega = d\Pi$, where $\Pi \in$ $\Gamma(SM, \Lambda^{d-1}T^*SM).$
- Use $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}}$, which is the restriction of $\pi : SM \to M$ on \mathcal{S} , to pull the integral $\int_{M\setminus\bigcup_{x_i\in I} \overline{B(x_i)}} \Omega$ back to S. Make the radii of $B(x_i)$ tend to

0, apply the Stokes theorem and the Poincar´e-Hopf index theorem to finish the proof.

THE GAUSS-BONNET INTEGRAND

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$, we construct a differential form $\Omega_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \Lambda^d T^*M)$ for every α as follows:

$$
\Omega_{\alpha} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}{2^d \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}(\frac{d}{2})!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}.
$$
 (32)

Lemma 3.2.1. The form Ω_{α} constructed above is intrinsic and global. *Proof.* Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an orthogonal transformation which changes $\{O, e_1, \cdots\}$ $\{e_i, e_d\}$ to $\{O, e_1^*, \dots, e_d^*\}$ in U_α , then we have $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij} e_j^*$. By [\(22\)](#page-9-2) and [\(28\)](#page-10-1), one easily obtains

$$
\Omega_i^{j^*} = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} a_{jl} \Omega_k^{l},\tag{33}
$$

where $\Omega_i^{\ j}$ ∗ are curvature forms defined by [\(22\)](#page-9-2) with respect to the frame ${O, e_1^*, \cdots e_d^*}.$ Substitute [\(33\)](#page-12-0) into [\(32\)](#page-12-1), Ω remains invariant. This shows that Ω_{α} is intrinsic. By [\(16\)](#page-8-3), $\Omega_{\alpha} = \Omega_{\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, therefore the Ω_{α} s paste together to define a global form Ω on M . \square **REMARK**

By the above lemma, it follows immediate that

$$
\Omega = K(x)\omega^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{d}, K(x) \in C^{\infty}(M), \tag{34}
$$

where $K(x)$ is a scalar invariant of the Riemannian manifold which is the generalization of the Gaussian curvature in the surface case. Therefore Lemma 3.2.1 should be viewed as a generalization of Gauss's Theorema Egregium in high dimensions.

With these preliminaries we state our main theorem as follows:

Theorem 3.2.2. (Gauss-Bonnet-Chern) Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold with an even dimension d, Ω is defined as above. Then the following formula holds

$$
\int_{M} \Omega = \chi(M). \tag{35}
$$

A Transgression Lemma

When a closed form on M is pulled back to a fiber bundle, it could happen that it becomes an exact form, such a process is called transgression.

Let π : $SM \rightarrow M$ be the projective sphere bundle of M, then $\pi^*\Omega \in$

 $\Gamma(SM, A^d T^*SM).$

Lemma 3.2.3. (Transgression) There exists a $\Pi \in \Gamma(SM, \Lambda^{d-1}T^*SM)$ such that

$$
\pi^*\Omega = d\Pi. \tag{36}
$$

Proof. Suppose $v \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, SM)$, then we have

$$
\pi^* v = \pi^*(v^i e_i), ||v|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d v_i^2} = 1.
$$
 (37)

Apply exterior differentiation to π^*v , by [\(28\)](#page-10-1) we get

$$
d\pi^* v = \eta^i \pi^* e_i, \eta^i = d\pi^* v^i + \pi^* (v^j \omega_j^i). \tag{38}
$$

A dimensional consideration shows that the 1-forms $\{\eta^i\}$ are not independent. In fact, we can deduce from [\(38\)](#page-13-0) the important relation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \pi^* v^i \eta^i = 0.
$$
 (39)

Take the exterior differential of η^i in [\(38\)](#page-13-0) and use [\(22\)](#page-9-2) to get

$$
d\eta^i = \pi^*(dv^j \wedge \omega_j^i) + \pi^*(v^j d\omega_j^i)
$$

=
$$
\pi^*(dv^j \wedge \omega_j^i) + \pi^*\left(v^j(\Omega_j^i + \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i)\right)
$$

=
$$
\pi^*(v^j \Omega_j^i) - \pi^*(\eta^i \wedge \omega_i^j).
$$
 (40)

Following Chern, we construct the following two sets of differential forms

$$
\Phi_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* v^{\sigma(1)} \eta^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)} \overset{\sigma(d-2k+2)}{\wedge} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)} \overset{\sigma(d)}{\longrightarrow}, \tag{41}
$$

$$
\Psi_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}, \tag{42}
$$

where $0 \le k \le \frac{d}{2} - 1$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.1, it is easy to check that the differential forms Φ_k and Ψ_k are intrinsic and therefore global by [\(20\)](#page-9-3).

The main step for proving this lemma is to establish the following recurrent relation:

$$
d\Phi_k = \Psi_{k-1} + \frac{d-2k+1}{2(k+1)}\Psi_k,
$$
\n(43)

where Ψ_{-1} is defined to be 0.

Now suppose [\(43\)](#page-14-0) has been proved, then we can solve Ψ_k in terms of $d\Phi_0$, \cdots \cdot , $d\Phi_k$:

$$
\Psi_k = \sum_{m=0}^d (-1)^m \frac{2^{m+1}(k+1)!(d-2k+2m-2)!}{(k-m)!(d-2k-1)!} d\Phi_{k-m},\tag{44}
$$

In particular, we get

$$
\pi^* \Omega = (-1)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \frac{1}{2^d \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} (\frac{d}{2})!} \Psi_{\frac{d}{2} - 1} = d\Pi, \tag{45}
$$

where

$$
\Pi = \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} (-1)^m \frac{(\frac{d}{2}-m-1)!}{(d-2m-1)! 2^{\frac{d}{2}+m-1}} \Phi_m.
$$
 (46)

The proof is complete. \Box Proof of [\(43\)](#page-14-0) Computation gives

$$
d\Phi_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* dv^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \eta^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}
$$

$$
+ (d - 2k + 1) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* v^{\sigma(1)} d\eta^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}
$$

$$
-k \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* v^{\sigma(1)} \eta^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* d\Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}.
$$
 (47)

If we substitute dv^i , $d\eta^i$ and $d\Omega_i^j$ by their expressions in [\(38\)](#page-13-0), [\(40\)](#page-13-1) and [\(24\)](#page-9-5), the resulting expression for $d\Phi_k$ will then consist of two kinds of terms, those involving $\pi^* \omega_i^j$ i and those not. We collect the terms not involving $\pi^* \omega_i^j$ $\frac{j}{i}$, which are

$$
\Psi_{k-1} + (d - 2k + 1) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn} \cdot \pi^* (v^{\sigma(1)} v^j \Omega_j^{\sigma(2)}) \wedge
$$

$$
\eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}.
$$
 (48)

These expressions are clearly intrinsic and therefore global, actually it is easy to see that they are just $d\Phi_k$ s.

In fact, fix an $O \in M$, we can choose a frame $\{O, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ at O so that $\omega_i^{\ j} = 0$. This is equivalent to using the Riemannian normal coordinates in the tensor calculus context. Then it is obvious that [\(48\)](#page-14-1) is equal to $d\Phi_k$ at O. It follows immediately they are identical because they are intrinsic.

In order to prove [\(43\)](#page-14-0), we use Ψ_k to simplify the second term in [\(48\)](#page-14-1). To do this, we make the following abbreviations

$$
A_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* \left((v^{\sigma(1)})^2 \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \right) \wedge \eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge \n\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)},
$$
\n(49)

$$
B_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^*(v^{\sigma(1)} v^{\sigma(3)} \Omega_{\sigma(3)}^{\sigma(2)}) \wedge \eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}, \qquad (50)
$$

$$
C_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* \left((v^{\sigma(3)})^2 \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \right) \wedge \eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}, \tag{51}
$$

therefore we can write

$$
d\Phi_k = \Psi_{k-1} + (d - 2k - 1)\Big(A_k + (d - 2k - 2)B_k\Big). \tag{52}
$$

By [\(37\)](#page-13-2) we have

$$
A_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* \left(\left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^d (v^{\sigma(i)})^2 \right) \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \right) \wedge \eta^{\sigma(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)}
$$

$$
\wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}
$$

$$
= \Psi_k - A_k - (d - 2k - 2)C_k - 2kA_k. \tag{53}
$$

This gives the relation

$$
\Psi_k = 2(k+1)A_k + (d-2k-2)C_k.
$$
\n(54)

By (39) , we have

$$
B_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^*(v^{\sigma(1)} \Omega_{\sigma(3)}^{\sigma(2)}) \wedge (-\sum_{i=1}^d \pi^* v^{\sigma(i)} \eta^{\sigma(i)}) \wedge \eta^{\sigma(4)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{\sigma(d-2k)} \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-2k+1)}^{\sigma(d-2k+2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)} = C_k - (2k+1)B_k.
$$
\n(55)

Substitute [\(54\)](#page-15-0) and [\(55\)](#page-15-1) into [\(52\)](#page-15-2), we get [\(43\)](#page-14-0). \Box

PROOF OF THE GAUSS-BONNET-CHERN THEOREM

First note that S is a submanifold of SM, so we can use $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}}$ to pull the integral $\int_{M\setminus\bigcup_{x_i\in I} \overline{B(x_i)}} \Omega$ back to S. By the transgression lemma and the Stokes' theorem, we have

$$
\int_{M} \Omega = \int_{M \setminus \bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \overline{B(x_{i})}} \Omega + \int_{\bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \overline{B(x_{i})}} \Omega
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{S} \pi \big|_{S}^{*} \Omega + \int_{\bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \overline{B(x_{i})}} \Omega
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{S} d\Pi \big|_{S} + \int_{\bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \overline{B(x_{i})}} \Omega
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\pi \big|_{S}^{-1} \big(\bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \partial \overline{B(x_{i})}\big)} \Pi + \int_{\bigcup_{x_{i} \in I} \overline{B(x_{i})}} \Omega.
$$
\n(56)

As the radii of the balls $B(x_i)$ tend to 0, the first term on the right hand side of [\(56\)](#page-16-0) tends to $\chi(M) \int_{SM_x} \Pi$ by the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem and the second term vanishes, where SM_x denotes the fiber of SM at x. By [\(23\)](#page-9-6), one easily calculates

$$
\int_{SM_x} \Pi = \frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)! \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{d! 2^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \int_{SM_x} \Phi_0 = 1.
$$
\n(57)

This completes the proof. \square **REMARKS**

- In Chern's original proof, he assumed that ∇^{TM} is the Levi-Civita connection. However, it is clear from the proof above that the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem holds as long as $\overline{\nabla}^{TM}$ is metric compatible.
- Chern's method of transgression can be modified to generalize the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem to odd dimensions, although the formula in this case is somewhat trivial and not of much interest. This is done by Chern himself in [\[15\]](#page-56-10). In fact, define

$$
\widetilde{\Pi} = \frac{(-1)^d}{2^d \pi^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2}(d-1)\right]} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k! \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(d-2k+1)\right)} \widetilde{\Phi}_k,\tag{58}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_k = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(2k-1)}^{\sigma(2k)} \wedge
$$

$$
\pi^* \omega_{\sigma(2k+1)}^{\sigma(d)} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^* \Omega_{\sigma(d-1)}^{\sigma(d)}.
$$
(59)

Chern showed that $d\overline{\Pi} = \pi^* \Omega$, where

$$
\widetilde{\Omega} = \begin{cases} \Omega & d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
 (60)

Therefore, the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem for every closed oriented Riemannian manifold is given by

$$
\int_{M} \widetilde{\Omega} = \begin{cases} \chi(M) & d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
 (61)

Since $\chi(M) = 0$ if M is a closed manifold with an odd dimension, we actually have $\int_M \tilde{\Omega} = \chi(M)$ for every closed oriented Riemannian manifold M.

With the forms $\tilde{\Pi}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$, Chern obtained intrinsically in [\[15\]](#page-56-10) the Allendoerfer-Weil formula (c.f. [\(4\)](#page-3-1)) for a differentiable Riemannian polyhedra P. Since ∂P is a submanifold of M, let $\tilde{\pi}$: $PN\partial P \bigcap SM \rightarrow$
3D he the law dle of innovanity comes least the same 3D subman $DN\partial P$ is ∂P be the bundle of inner unit normal vectors over ∂P , where $PN\partial P$ is the projectivized normal bundle, i.e., the quotient bundle of the normal bundle $N\partial P$ over ∂P which treats $\{(x, \lambda y) : x \in \partial P, y \in N\partial P, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}\$ as a single point. Under these conventions the Allendoerfer-Weil formula is given by

$$
\int_{P} \widetilde{\Omega} = \int_{\partial P} (\widetilde{\pi}^{-1})^* \widetilde{\Pi}|_{PN\partial P \bigcap SM} - \chi'(M). \tag{62}
$$

3.3 Chern-Weil Theory

After his excellent work on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, Chern realized the possibility of expressing certain topological invariants by combinations of curvature forms. This leads to his fundamental paper [\[16\]](#page-56-11) introducing the Chern classes

$$
\det\left(I + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\Theta(\nabla^{E})\right) = 1 + c_1\left(\Theta(\nabla^{E})\right) + \cdots + c_r\left(\Theta(\nabla^{E})\right),\tag{63}
$$

where I is the identity matrix, E is a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r over a differentiable manifold M, and $\Theta(\nabla^E)$ is the curvature matrix with respect to a connection ∇^E on E.

Chern showed in [\[16\]](#page-56-11) that the differential forms $c_i(\Theta(\nabla^E))$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ are actually independent of ∇^E and determine cohomology classes $\left[c_i\left(\Theta(\nabla^E)\right)\right]$ in the de Rham cohomology groups. More precisely,

$$
\left[c_i\left(\Theta(\nabla^E)\right)\right] \in H_{\text{dR}}^{2i}(M,\mathbb{C}), 1 \le i \le r. \tag{64}
$$

This example, together with the top form Ω defined by [\(32\)](#page-12-1) in the proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, give important examples of the Chern-Weil theory, which is a theory aimed at representing characteristic classes of some principal bundle over a manifold by geometrically significant objects. The above two examples due to Chern are unified in the Chern-Weil homomorphism, which is the main result of the Chern-Weil theory. To state this theorem, we need some preliminaries in multilinear algebra.

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . G is a real or complex Lie group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. We introduce a function $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{K}$ satisfying the following two properties:

• ν is *k*-linear and

•

$$
\nu(X_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,X_{\sigma(k)}) = \nu(X_1,\cdots,X_k), \sigma \in \Sigma_k,
$$
\n(65)

 $\nu\Big(\text{Ad}(g)X_1,\cdots,\text{Ad}(g)X_k\Big) = \nu(X_1,\cdots,X_k), g \in \mathfrak{g}.$ (66)

It is a well-known fact that every such ν can be identified with a homogeneous polynomial of degree k defined by $\nu(X) = \nu(X, \dots, X), X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We will call $\nu(X)$ an *invariant polynomial*. It is clear that all the invariant polynomials form a ring, which we will denote by $I(G)$. Note that the action of a $\nu \in I(G)$ can be extended to g-valued differential forms in the following manner:

$$
\nu(\zeta^i \otimes Y_i) = \zeta^i \otimes \nu(Y_i), \zeta^i \in \Lambda^* T^* M, Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$
 (67)

Theorem 3.3.1. (Chern-Weil Homomorphsim) For a d-dimensional differentiable manifold M, let ∇^P be a Cartan connection on a principal Gbundle $\pi: P \to M$, then ∇^P is given by a set of $\mathfrak{g}\text{-valued 1-forms }\Big\{\theta_\alpha(\nabla^P)\Big\},\$ let $\left\{\Theta_{\alpha}(\nabla^P)\right\}$ be the corresponding curvature 2-forms. $\nu \in I(G)$. Then $\nu\left(\Theta_{\alpha}(\nabla^{P})\right)$ is intrinsic and thus global by [\(16\)](#page-8-3), we have

- $\nu(\pi^*\Theta_\alpha(\nabla^P))$ is a coboundary in P, i.e., there is $a \xi \in \Gamma(P, \Lambda^{d-1}T^*M)$ such that $\nu(\pi^*\Theta_\alpha(\nabla^P)) = d\xi$ on P. This is a generalization of the transgression lemma 3.2.3 proved above.
- $\nu\left(\Theta_{\alpha}(\nabla^P)\right)$ is closed in M, and $\left[\nu\left(\Theta_{\alpha}(\nabla^P)\right)\right]$ $\in H_{\text{dR}}^{2k}(M,\mathbb{K})$ is independent of ∇^P , therefore this defines a ring homomorphsim

$$
\mathcal{W}: I(G) \to H_{\text{dR}}^*(M, \mathbb{K})
$$
\n(68)

by taking
$$
\nu
$$
 to $[\nu(\Theta_{\alpha}(\nabla^P))]$. *W* is called the Chern-Weil homomorphism.

For a proof of this theorem and a neat and profound introduction to the theory of characteristic classes, we refer the reader to the appendix: Geometry of Characteristic Classes of [\[18\]](#page-56-7). See also [\[31\]](#page-57-10) for a topological approach to characteristic classes.

We now use this theorem to give another interpretation of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. This interpretation leads naturally to the topological proofs in the next section.

Definition 3.3.2. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $d \times d$ skew-symmetric matrix, d even, then the Pfaffian of A is defined by

$$
Pf(A) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{d}{2}}(\frac{d}{2})!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \left(\text{sgn } \sigma \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}} a_{\sigma(2i-1)\sigma(2i)} \right).
$$
 (69)

One is able to verify the following important relation:

$$
Pf(A)^2 = \det(A). \tag{70}
$$

Using this notation, we can write the Gauss-Bonnet integrand as

$$
\Omega = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}\left(\Theta(\nabla^{TM})\right),\tag{71}
$$

where ∇^{TM} denotes a metric connection on TM. Since Pf $\in I(O(d,\mathbb{R}))$, by the Chern-Weil homomorphsim, $\left[\text{Pf}\left(\Theta(\nabla^{TM})\right)\right]$ $\in H^d_{\mathrm{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ is independent of the metric connection ∇^{TM} we choose, so we can simply write $\Omega = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \text{Pf}(\Theta^{TM}).$

The d-form $\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}Pf(\Theta^{TM})$ is usually called the *geometric Euler class*. Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem asserts that the integration of the geometric Euler class on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold gives the Euler characteristic.

4 Topological Proofs

4.1 Thom Class and Euler Class

To make our exposition self-contained, we shall provide here a brief introduction to the topological backgrounds which will be assumed in our following proofs. Our main object in this subsection is to prove the following simple but important result:

Proposition 4.1.1. The pullback of the Thom class of an even dimensional oriented vector bundle via the zero section to the base manifold is the Euler class.

We present here a new approach to the Euler class inspired by [\[12\]](#page-56-4) and [\[27\]](#page-57-5), as we shall see, this approach is very convenient for our purpose and doesn't seem to be too formal to hide the geometric motivation. Compare our exposition here with [\[1\]](#page-55-2), [\[12\]](#page-56-4), [\[24\]](#page-56-12), [\[27\]](#page-57-5) and [\[31\]](#page-57-10).

THOM CLASS

As we know, there are two basic topological invariants for a manifold M , namely the de Rham cohomology $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ and the compactly supported cohomology $H_c^*(M,\mathbb{R})$. For a vector bundle $\pi: E \to M$, there is a third one, namely the cohomology with compact support in the vertical direction, we use $\Omega_{\text{cv}}^{k}(E)$ to denote the corresponding complex.

Definition 4.1.2. A smooth k-form $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{cv}}^k(E)$ if and only if for every compact set $K \subset M$, $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap \text{Supp } \omega$ is compact. The cohomology of the complex $\Omega_{\text{cv}}^*(E)$, denoted by $H_{\text{cv}}^*(E,\mathbb{R})$, is called the *cohomology of E with* compact support in the vertical direction.

Just as the Poincaré duality which gives the relation between $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ and $H^*_{\text{c}}(M,\mathbb{R})$, the Thom isomorphism relates $H^*_{\text{cv}}(E,\mathbb{R})$ with $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 4.1.3. (Thom Isomorphism) Let E be an oriented vector bundle over a manifold M with rank n, then

$$
H_{\text{cv}}^*(E,\mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^{*-n}(M,\mathbb{R}).\tag{72}
$$

The proof is based on the generalized Mayer-Vietoris argument and the Poincaré lemma for compactly supported cohomology, details can be found in [\[1\]](#page-55-2), [\[12\]](#page-56-4) and [\[27\]](#page-57-5).

By this theorem $1 \in H^0_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ determines a cohomology class $t(E) \in$ $H_{\text{cv}}^{n}(E,\mathbb{R}),$ we call $t(E)$ the Thom class of the oriented vector bundle E. There is a simple characterization of the Thom class:

Proposition 4.1.4. The Thom class $t(E)$ on a rank n oriented vector bundle E can be uniquely characterized as the cohomology class in $H^n_{\text{cv}}(E,\mathbb{R})$ which restricts to the generator of $H^n_c(E_x, \mathbb{R})$ for every $x \in M$.

Proof. By Definition 4.1.4, Supp $t(E)|_{E_x}$ is compact. Since the pushforward π_* is given by integration along the fiber and $\pi_* t(E) = 1$, we see that $t(E)|_{E_x}$ is a generator of $H_c^n(E_x, \mathbb{R})$.

Conversely if $t'(E) \in H^n_{\text{cv}}(E,\mathbb{R})$ restricts to a generator of $H^n_{\text{c}}(E_x,\mathbb{R})$ on each fiber, then one verifies directly the so-called projection formula:

$$
\pi_*\Big(\left(\pi^*\omega\right)\wedge t'(E)\Big)=\omega\wedge\pi_*t'(E)=\omega.\tag{73}
$$

Since it is another simple verification that the map $\mathfrak{T} : \omega \to (\pi^*\omega) \wedge t'(E)$ defines the Thom isomorphism, it follows that $t'(E) = \mathfrak{T}(1)$ defines the Thom class. \square

Corollary 4.1.5. Suppose E_1 is an orientable vector bundle over M with rank n and $f: N \to M$ is C^{∞} . Let E_2 be a vector bundle over N such that the following diagram

$$
E_2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} E_1
$$

$$
\downarrow \tilde{\pi} \qquad \downarrow \pi
$$

$$
N \xrightarrow{f} M
$$

is commutative, then E_2 must be orientable. We have $\tilde{f}^*t(E_1) = t(E_2)$. *Proof.* It is clear that $\tilde{f}^*t(E_1) \in H_{\text{cv}}^n(E_2)$. By the commutativity of the diagram,

$$
\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{f}^* t(E_1) = f^* \pi_* t(E_1) = f^* 1 = 1.
$$
\n(74)

By Proposition 4.1.4, the proof is complete. \Box Euler Class

We begin with the simplest case when E is an oriented Riemannian plane bundle and $\{U_{\alpha}\}\$ is a coordinate open cover of M. Then the local coordinates in $E|_{U_{\alpha}}$ can be written as $\pi^* x_1, \dots, \pi^* x_n, r_{\alpha}, \vartheta_{\alpha}$, where x_1, \dots, x_n is the local coordinates on U_{α} and every fiber of E is endowed with the polar coordinates. Since the structure group of E can be reduced to $SO(2)$, we may assume that on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, $r_{\alpha} = r_{\beta}$ while ϑ_{α} and ϑ_{β} differ by a rotation. Define $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$, up to a constant multiple of 2π , as the angle of rotation in the counterclockwise direction from the α -coordinate system to the β -coordinate system:

$$
\vartheta_{\beta} = \vartheta_{\alpha} + \pi^* \varphi_{\alpha \beta}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta} : U_{\alpha} \bigcap U_{\beta} \to \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (75)

By the definition of $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$, we have the simple relation

$$
\varphi_{\alpha\beta} + \varphi_{\beta\gamma} + \varphi_{\alpha\gamma} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z},\tag{76}
$$

it follows immediately that $d\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the cocycle condition

$$
d\varphi_{\alpha\beta} + d\varphi_{\beta\gamma} + d\varphi_{\alpha\gamma} = 0. \tag{77}
$$

Let $\{\rho_\gamma\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\gamma\}$, and define $\xi_\alpha =$ 1 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma} d\varphi_{\alpha\gamma}$. By [\(77\)](#page-21-0) we have

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi}d\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \xi_{\beta} - \xi_{\alpha}.\tag{78}
$$

[\(78\)](#page-21-1) shows that $d\xi_{\alpha}$ agrees with $d\xi_{\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, hence $d\xi_{\alpha}$ piece together to give a global 2-form $e^{E}(x)$ on M. Since $e^{E}(x)$ is closed, it determines a cohomology class $e(E)$ in $H^2_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$, which is by definition the *Euler class* of the oriented plane bundle E. It is obvious that $e(E)$ does not depend on the choice of ξ_{α} .

A primary reason for considering the plane bundle case is that the Euler class can be expressed explicitly by transition funcitons in this case. This makes it easier for us to obtain the basic properties of the Euler class in the plane bundle case. In fact, let $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \to SO(2)$ denote the transition functions of E , then by the identification

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\cos\vartheta & -\sin\vartheta \\
\sin\vartheta & \cos\vartheta\n\end{pmatrix} \to e^{i\vartheta},\n\tag{79}
$$

 $g_{\alpha\beta}$ may be thought of as complex-values functions. In this context, we can write

$$
-\pi^*\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \vartheta_\alpha - \vartheta_\beta = -i\pi^* \log g_{\alpha\beta}.
$$
 (80)

By the injectivity of π^* we get

$$
\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -i \log g_{\alpha\beta}.\tag{81}
$$

By [\(81\)](#page-22-0) and the definition of ξ_{α} ,

$$
\xi_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma} d \log g_{\gamma\alpha}.
$$
 (82)

Apply exterior differentiation to ξ_{α} , we finally get

$$
e^{E}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\gamma} d(\rho_{\gamma} d \log g_{\gamma \alpha}) \text{ on } U_{\alpha}.
$$
 (83)

Identify M with the image of the zero section of E . By [\(75\)](#page-21-2) and [\(78\)](#page-21-1), we have on $(E \setminus M)|_{U_{\alpha} \bigcap U_{\beta}}$

$$
\frac{d\vartheta_{\alpha}}{2\pi} - \pi^* \xi_{\alpha} = \frac{d\vartheta_{\beta}}{2\pi} - \pi^* \xi_{\beta}.
$$
 (84)

These forms then piece together to give a global 1-form $\psi^{E}(y)$ on $E \setminus M$. One verifies easily that

$$
d\psi^E(y) = -\pi^* e^E(x). \tag{85}
$$

Let $\rho(r) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \bigcup \{0\})$ be a function which is identically -1 near $r = 0$. Define

$$
\mathsf{t}(E) = \left[d \big(\rho(r) \cdot \psi^E(y) \big) \right]. \tag{86}
$$

An easy verification shows that $t(E)$ satisfies the following properties

- $\mathsf{t}(E) \subset \Omega^2_{\mathrm{cv}}(E);$
- $\pi_* \iota_x^* \mathsf{t}(E) = 1$, where $\iota_x : E_x \to E$ is the inclusion;
- $\mathsf{t}(E) \in H^n_{\text{cv}}(E,\mathbb{R})$ is independent of the choice of $\rho(r)$.

By Proposition 4.1.4, we conclude that $t(E) = t(E)$. Let $s_0 : M \to E$ be the zero section of E , we have

$$
s_0^*(E) = \left[d(\rho(0)) \cdot s_0^* \psi^E(y) - \rho(0) s_0^* \pi^* e^E(x) \right] = e(E). \tag{87}
$$

Therefore we have proved Proposition 4.1.1 in the plane bundle case.

We now use the following result to extend the definition of the Euler class to an arbitrary even-dimensional oriented vector bundle E.

Theorem 4.1.6. (Splitting Principle for Real Vector Bundles) Let E be an oriented vector bundle over a manifold M with rank $2n$. Then there is a manifold N and a map $\mathcal{J}: N \to M$ such that

- the homomorphism $\mathcal{J}^*: H^*_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R}) \to H^*_{\text{dR}}(N,\mathbb{R})$ is injective;
- \mathcal{J}^*E is a direct sum of orientable plane bundles: $\mathcal{J}^*E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$.

A proof of this theorem can be found in [\[27\]](#page-57-5) and [\[34\]](#page-57-11), see also [\[6\]](#page-55-5) for a general version of the real splitting principle.

Definition 4.1.7. Let E be a 2n-dimensional oriented vector bundle over a manifold M, the Euler class $e(E)$ is defined by the following formula

$$
\mathcal{J}^*e(E) = e(\mathcal{P}_1) \smile \cdots \smile e(\mathcal{P}_n),\tag{88}
$$

where \smile denotes the cup product.

This Euler class shall sometimes be referred to as the topological Euler class to distinguish it from the geometric Euler class defined in §3.3 since it is defined in a topological way.

REMARK

By now it is not clear whether the Euler class in the above definition is welldefined, especially the existence of $e(E)$ in [\(88\)](#page-23-0) needs to be proved. However, we will show in the following proposition that $s_0^*t(E)$ satisfies [\(88\)](#page-23-0). Combined with [\(87\)](#page-23-1), it is easy to see that this will establish Proposition 4.1.1 and the existence of $e(E)$ simultaneously. Note that the injectivity of \mathcal{J}^* assures the uniqueness of $e(E)$ as long as it exists.

Proposition 4.1.8.

$$
\mathcal{J}^*(s_0^*t)(E) = (s_0^*t)(\mathcal{J}^*E). \tag{89}
$$

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathcal{J}^*E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} & E \\
\downarrow_{\tilde{\pi}} & & \downarrow_{\pi} \\
N & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} & M.\n\end{array}
$$

Let s_0 and \tilde{s}_0 be the zero sections of E and \mathcal{J}^*E respectively, then we have $\mathcal{J} \circ \tilde{s}_0 = s_0 \circ \mathcal{J}$. By Corollary 4.1.5, the proof is complete. \Box

Euler Number and Euler Characteristic

Let E be a rank 2n oriented vector bundle over M. Since $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n}(M,\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$, the Euler class may be identified with the number $\int_M e(E)$, which is by definition the Euler number of E. The following result shows that the concept of the Euler number is a generalization of the Euler characteristic.

Proposition 4.1.9. Suppose M is closed and oriented and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = d$, d even. Then

$$
\int_{M} e(TM) = \chi(M). \tag{90}
$$

Proof. Let $\{\omega_i\}$ be a basis of the vector space $H^*_{dR}(M,\mathbb{R})$, $\{\tau_j\}$ be the dual basis under the Poincaré duality. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two projections from $M \times M$ onto M. By the Künneth formula, $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M \times M, \mathbb{R}) = H^*_{\text{dR}}(M, \mathbb{R}) \otimes$ $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\{\rho_1^*\omega_i \wedge \rho_2^*\tau_j\}$ forms a basis of $H^*_{\text{dR}}(M\times M,\mathbb{R})$. Suppose η_{\blacktriangle} is the Poincaré dual of the diagonal $\blacktriangle \in M \times M$, then we can write

$$
\eta_{\blacktriangle} = c^{ij} \rho_1^* \omega_i \wedge \rho_2^* \tau_j, c^{ij} \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{91}
$$

Lemma 4.1.10.

$$
\eta_{\blacktriangle} = (-1)^{\deg \omega_i} \rho_1^* \omega_i \wedge \rho_2^* \tau_i. \tag{92}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1.10

We compute $\int_{\blacktriangle} \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \rho_2^* \omega_l$ in two ways. On one hand, use the map $\iota : M \to$ $\blacktriangle \subset M \times M$ to pull this integral back to M, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbf{A}} \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \rho_2^* \omega_l = \int_M \iota^* \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \iota^* \rho_2^* \omega_l = \int_M \tau_k \wedge \omega_l = (-1)^{\deg \tau_k \cdot \deg \omega_l} \delta_{kl}.
$$
 (93)

On the other hand, by the definition of the Poincaré dual, we compute

$$
\int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \rho_2^* \omega_l = \int_{M \times M} \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \rho_2^* \omega_l \wedge \eta_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \n= c^{ij} \int_{M \times M} \rho_1^* \tau_k \wedge \rho_2^* \omega_l \wedge \rho_1^* \omega_i \wedge \rho_2^* \tau_j \n= (-1)^{\deg \omega_i(\deg \tau_k + \deg \omega_l)} c^{ij} \int_{M \times M} \rho_1^* (\omega_i \wedge \tau_k) \rho_2^* (\omega_l \wedge \tau_j) \n= (-1)^{\deg \omega_k(\deg \tau_k + \deg \omega_l)} c^{kl}.
$$
\n(94)

Compare [\(93\)](#page-24-0) with [\(94\)](#page-24-1), the proof is complete. \Box A straightforward verification shows the following diagram commutes:

$$
0 \longrightarrow T\blacktriangle \longrightarrow T((M \times M)|\blacktriangle) \longrightarrow N\blacktriangle \longrightarrow 0
$$

\n
$$
\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$

\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow TM \oplus TM \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow 0,
$$

it then follows that

$$
N\blacktriangle \cong TM \cong T\blacktriangle. \tag{95}
$$

It is a well-known fact that the Poincaré dual of a closed oriented submanifold N is represented by the Thom class of a tubular neighborhood (which is isomorphic to the normal bundle) of N (see [\[12\]](#page-56-4) for detail). Use this we calculate

$$
\int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \eta_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} t(N\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} e(N\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} e(T\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \int_{M} e(TM), \quad (96)
$$

where Proposition 4.1.1 and [\(95\)](#page-25-1) have been used during the calculation. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1.10,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \eta_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = (-1)^{\deg \omega_i} \int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \rho_1^* \omega_i \wedge \rho_2^* \tau_i
$$

\n
$$
= (-1)^{\deg \omega_i} \int_M \iota^* \rho_1^* \omega_i \wedge \iota^* \rho_2^* \tau_i
$$

\n
$$
= (-1)^{\deg \omega_i} \int_M \omega_i \wedge \tau_i
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^d (-1)^j \dim H_{\text{dR}}^j(M, \mathbb{R})
$$

\n
$$
= \chi(M).
$$
 (97)

Combine [\(96\)](#page-25-2) and [\(97\)](#page-25-3), we get [\(90\)](#page-24-2). \Box

4.2 A Generalized Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem

It is clear from our interpretation of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem at the end of §3.3 and Proposition 4.1.9 that to prove the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, we only need to show the geometric Euler class and the topological Euler class of TM coincide, i.e., $\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi} \right)$ $\left[\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right]^{\frac{d}{2}}\text{Pf}(\Theta^{TM})\bigg] = e(TM).$

It is an observation made by Denis Bell in [\[7\]](#page-55-6) that it is essentially not more difficult to prove the general fact:

$$
\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^E) \right] = e(E),\tag{98}
$$

where E is an oriented Riemannian vector bundle of an even rank d and Θ^E is its curvature matrix induced by a metric connection ∇^{E} . By the Chern-Weil homomorphism, $\left[\text{Pf}(\Theta^E)\right]$ is independent of the ∇^E we choose. Evidently, [\(98\)](#page-26-0) generalizes the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.

Lemma 4.2.1. Suppose E is a Riemannian vector bundle over a manifold M, then $\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)$ $\frac{(-1)}{2\pi}$ ² $\mathrm{Pf}(\Theta^{\overline{E}})$ satisfies the following two properties:

• When $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n$, then

$$
(\frac{-1}{2\pi})^{\frac{d}{2}}Pf(\Theta^E) = (\frac{-1}{2\pi})^{\frac{d_1}{2}}Pf(\Theta^{E_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\frac{-1}{2\pi})^{\frac{d_n}{2}}Pf(\Theta^{E_n}), \qquad (99)
$$

where $d_i = \text{rank } E_i$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$.

• Let $f: N \to M$ be a C^{∞} map between two manifolds, then

$$
(\frac{-1}{2\pi})^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^{f^*E}) = f^* \left((\frac{-1}{2\pi})^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^E) \right). \tag{100}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $n = 2$. Suppose $\theta(\nabla^{E_1})$ and $\theta(\nabla^{\check{E}_2})$ are connection matrices for E_1 and E_2 respectively, then it is clear that

$$
\theta(\nabla^{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(\nabla^{E_1}) & 0 \\ 0 & \theta(\nabla^{E_2}) \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (101)

defines a connection matrix for $E_1 \oplus E_2$. Moreover, if $\theta(\nabla^{E_1})$ and $\theta(\nabla^{E_2})$ are skew-symmetric, so is $\theta(\nabla^E)$.

Therefore the corresponding curvature matrix for $E_1 \oplus E_2$ is given by

$$
\Theta^{E} = \begin{pmatrix} d\theta(\nabla^{E_1}) - \theta(\nabla^{E_1}) \wedge \theta(\nabla^{E_1}) & 0 \\ 0 & d\theta(\nabla^{E_2}) - \theta(\nabla^{E_2}) \wedge \theta(\nabla^{E_2}) \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{pmatrix} \Theta^{E_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Theta^{E_2} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
(102)

By Definition 3.3.2, this gives

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^E) = \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d_1}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^{E_1}) \wedge \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d_2}{2}} \mathbf{Pf}(\Theta^{E_2}).\tag{103}
$$

Since it is obvious that the pullback of a skew-symmetric connection matrix is still a skew-symmetric connection matrix on the pullback vector bundle, (100) holds trivially. \square

By this lemma, in order to prove [\(98\)](#page-26-0), we only need to establish [\(98\)](#page-26-0) in the plane bundle case. The general case then follows from Definition 4.1.7 and the above lemma. Therefore, the generalized Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem reduces to the following proposition:

Proposition 4.2.2. Suppose $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to M$ is an oriented Riemannian plane bundle, then $\left[-\frac{1}{2n}\right]$ $\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Pf}(\Theta^{\mathcal{P}})\Big] = e(\mathcal{P}).$

Proof. First note that in this case, Pf($\Theta^{\mathcal{P}}$) is given by $d(\theta_{\alpha})_1^2$ $_1^2$ on U_α , where $(\theta_\alpha)_1^2$ \int_1^2 is the connection 1-form for an arbitrary metric connection on \mathcal{P} . This fact is familiar to us when the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on TM , where M is a Riemannian surface (cf. [\[19\]](#page-56-1)). In the general case it can be easily verified by a direct computation.

The proof will be finished as long as we can show [\(83\)](#page-22-1) and $-\frac{1}{2i}$ $\frac{1}{2\pi}d(\theta_\alpha)_1^2$ $\frac{1}{1}$ defines the same cohomology class in $H^2_{\text{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ for some metric connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{P}}$.

Since P is oriented, we have $g_{\alpha\beta} \in SO(2)$. By identifying $SO(2)$ with \mathbb{S}^1 , we can write $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{i\varphi_{\alpha\beta}}$ for some $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} \in (0, 2\pi]$. By [\(81\)](#page-22-0), it is evident that this $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ is identical to that defined in [\(75\)](#page-21-2). We then substitute $g_{\gamma\alpha}$ by $e^{i\varphi_{\gamma\alpha}}$ in [\(83\)](#page-22-1), this gives

$$
e(\mathcal{P})|_{U_{\alpha}} = \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\gamma} d(\rho_{\gamma} d \log g_{\gamma \alpha}) \right]
$$

$$
= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\gamma} d(\rho_{\gamma} g_{\gamma \alpha}^{-1} d g_{\gamma \alpha}) \right]
$$

$$
= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\gamma} d(\rho_{\gamma} d \varphi_{\gamma \alpha}) \right]. \tag{104}
$$

We now associate to each fiber of $\mathcal P$ an orthogonal frame $\{O, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^{\perp}\}\$ such that $\{\pi^*O, \pi^*\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \pi^*\mathbf{r}_\alpha^\perp\}$ forms the Cartesian coordinate system of the fiber and $\|\pi^* \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}\| = \|\pi^* \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^{\perp}\| = 1$ (identify the tautological sections with vectors in P). This is equivalent to considering the special orthogonal frame bundle $\mathcal F$ of P . By [\(28\)](#page-10-1), the connection 1-forms of $\mathcal F$ are given by

$$
d\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} = (\theta_{\alpha})_1^2 \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^{\perp}.
$$
 (105)

On the other hand, by [\(75\)](#page-21-2) we have

$$
d\pi^* \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} = \left(\pi^* d\varphi_{\gamma\alpha} + \pi^* (\theta_{\gamma})_1^2\right) \pi^* \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^{\perp}.
$$
 (106)

By [\(105\)](#page-27-0) and the injectivity of π^* , we have on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\gamma}$:

$$
(\theta_{\alpha})_1^2 = d\varphi_{\gamma\alpha} + (\theta_{\gamma})_1^2. \tag{107}
$$

Substitute [\(107\)](#page-28-0) into [\(104\)](#page-27-1), we get

$$
e(\mathcal{P})|_{U_{\alpha}} = \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\gamma} d\left(\rho_{\gamma}\left(\left(\theta_{\alpha}\right)_{1}^{2} - \left(\theta_{\gamma}\right)_{1}^{2}\right)\right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} d \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma} \left(\theta_{\alpha}\right)_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} d \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma} \left(\theta_{\gamma}\right)_{1}^{2} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} d\left(\theta_{\alpha}\right)_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} d \sum_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma} \left(\theta_{\gamma}\right)_{1}^{2} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} d\left(\theta_{\alpha}\right)_{1}^{2} \right].
$$
 (108)

The last step in the above computation is because $\frac{1}{2\pi}d\sum_{\gamma}\rho_{\gamma}(\theta_{\gamma})_1^2$ $_1^2$ defines an exact 2-form on M , and therefore a coboundary in the de Rham complex. This proves that $e(\mathcal{P}) = \left[-\frac{1}{2i} \right]$ $\frac{1}{2\pi}Pf(\Theta^{\mathcal{P}})\Big]\in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(M,\mathbb{R}).\qquad \Box$ In particular, we have the following version of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem:

Theorem 4.2.3. (Generalized Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem) Suppose E is a rank d oriented Riemannian vector bundle over a d-dimensional closed oriented manifold M, d even. Then we have the following formula:

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_M \mathrm{Pf}(\Theta^E) = \int_M e(E). \tag{109}
$$

REMARKS

- Such a theorem was first appeared in [\[29\]](#page-57-12) as a consequence of the representation of the Pontrjagin classes by curvature forms. However, since they didn't make use of the real splitting principle, they restrict themselves to the case when E is a direct sum of plane bundles. When $E = TM$, a topological proof based on the relation between the Euler class and the first Chern class can be found in [\[27\]](#page-57-5). The above version of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem was first proved in [\[7\]](#page-55-6), the idea is to construct the Thom class of P geometrically. Our new proof above is a direct approach, which I believe is the simplest proof for this theorem.
- Note that our approach to proving the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem actually shows the equivalence between the classical Gauss-Bonnet theorem on surfaces [\(2\)](#page-2-3) and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem for all even

dimensions [\(35\)](#page-12-2). However, this does not diminish the importance of Chern's work [\[14\]](#page-56-0) since we may never come to realize this equivalence without his contributions to the whole subject.

Theorem 4.2.3 enables us to generalize the classical Poincaré-Hopf index theorem as follows:

Theorem 4.2.4. (Generalized Poincaré-Hopf Index Theorem) Let E be an oriented rank d vector bundle over a closed oriented d-dimensional manifold M, d even. S is the sphere bundle of E. $\mathfrak{S} \in \Gamma(M \setminus I, S)$, where I is chosen to be isolated. Denote by $\text{locdeg}_{\mathfrak{S}}(x)$ the local degree of \mathfrak{S} at $x \in I$, then the following formula holds:

$$
\sum_{x_i \in I} \text{locdeg}_{\mathfrak{S}}(x_i) = \int_M e(E). \tag{110}
$$

Proof. It is an easy observation that every step before [\(56\)](#page-16-0) in Chern's proof in §3.2 can actually be carried out on an arbitrary Riemannian vector bundle E of rank d. Endow E with a Riemannian structure, by applying Chern's approach to E instead of TM , we get

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_M \mathrm{Pf}(\Theta^E) = \int_{\pi|_{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{x_i \in I} \partial \overline{B(x_i)}\right)} \Pi_S + \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\bigcup_{x_i \in I} \overline{B(x_i)}} \mathrm{Pf}(\Theta^E),\tag{111}
$$

where Π_S is the corresponding $(d-1)$ -form constructed on $\pi : S \to M$ such that $\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \pi^* Pf(\Theta^E) = d\Pi_S$.

Now let the radii of $B(x_i)$ tend to 0, apply Theorem 4.2.3 to deduce [\(110\)](#page-29-1). \Box

REMARK

For a different proof of this theorem, see [\[12\]](#page-56-4). Such a theorem actually holds for every oriented sphere bundle with fiber \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

4.3 Berezin Integral and A Model of Construction

The reason for passing to the Thom class to carry out the required geometric construction lies in Proposition 4.1.4, i.e., since the Thom class is easily characterized by its properties restricted on each fiber, we may hope that our construction would be completed by some suitable modifications on a relatively trivial construction for a single fiber. This is actually what we plan to do in the following text.

As a preparation for the Thom form proof in the next subsection, we introduce here the concept of a Berezin integral, which will be used as a technical tool in our geometric construction of Mathai-Quillen's Thom form. As an

application of such a tool, we will use the Berezin integral to construct the Thom form for the vector bundle over a point, this is a model of the general case and provides enough motivation for the general construction. We follow from [\[10\]](#page-56-6), [\[39\]](#page-58-2) and [\[41\]](#page-58-0).

Definition 4.3.1. Let V be a real vector space. A nonzero linear map $B: \Lambda^* V \to \mathbb{R}$ which vanishes on $\Lambda^k V$ for $k < \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ is called a *Berezin* integral, by $\Lambda^* V$ we mean the exterior algebra of V.

To understand this definition, we restrict ourselves to the simplest case when V is an oriented Euclidean space with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Then there is a canonical Berezin integral given by projecting $\omega \in \Lambda^* V$ onto $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$, i.e.,

$$
B(e^{I}) = \begin{cases} 1 & |I| = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (112)

where I denotes the multi-index $I = \{i_k | 1 \le k \le n, i_1 < \cdots < i_n\}$. It follows from the above definition that $B(\omega) \neq 0$ if and only if the component of degree *n* of $\omega \in \Lambda^* V$ is not 0.

Since the identity map Id : $V \rightarrow V$ can be identified with an element of $\Gamma(V, \Lambda^0 V^* \otimes V)$, we can take its exterior differential $dx \in \Gamma(V, \Lambda^1 V^* \otimes V)$. Then the exponential e^{-idx} lies in $\Gamma(V, \Lambda^* V^* \otimes \Lambda^* V)$. We extend the Berezin integral to a map $B : \Gamma(V, \Lambda^* V^* \otimes \Lambda^* V) \to \Gamma(V, \Lambda^* V^*)$ by

$$
B(\omega \otimes \xi) = \omega B(\xi), \omega \in \Gamma(V, \Lambda^* V^*), \xi \in \Lambda^* V. \tag{113}
$$

Consider V as a vector bundle over a point, by Proposition 4.1.4, a Thom form $t^V(x) \in t(V)$ is a compactly supported *n*-form on V with $\int_V t^V(x) = 1$. Set

$$
u^{V}(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}||x||^2} dx^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n}.
$$
 (114)

Then it is easy to verify that

$$
\int_{V} u^{V}(x) = 1,
$$
\n(115)

which means $u^V(x)$ is a Thom form on V except that $u^V(x)$ is of exponential decay instead of having a compact support, we will show how to remedy this flaw in the next subsection. We now interpret $u^{V}(x)$ using the Berezin integral.

Proposition 4.3.2.

$$
u^{V}(x) = \varepsilon(n)(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}B(e^{-\frac{||x||^{2}}{2}-idx}),
$$
\n(116)

where

$$
\varepsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ even,} \\ i & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
 (117)

Proof. Let $\{e_k\}$ be the dual basis of dx^k , we have

$$
B(e^{-idx}) = B\Big(\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - i dx^k \otimes e_k)\Big)
$$

= $(-i)^n B\Big((dx^1 \otimes e_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx^n \otimes e_n)\Big)$
= $(-i)^n (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$ (118)

This proves the proposition. \Box **REMARK**

One can imagine how to modify (116) when the vector space V is replaced by an oriented Riemannian vector bundle $p : V \to M$. Since a metric connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ generalizes the exterior differential, we may replace dx by $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}} x \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda^1 T^* \mathcal{V} \otimes p^* \mathcal{V})$, where $p^* \mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle over \mathcal{V} and x is treated as the tautological section of $p^{\ast}V$. However, since $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ may not be flat, it seems that some further modifications on u^V will be needed.

4.4 Mathai-Quillen's Thom Form

Suppose $\mathcal E$ is an oriented Riemannian vector bundle of rank n over the base manifold M , then we can extend the Berezin intergal once again to a map

$$
B: \Gamma(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \Lambda^* \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(M, \Lambda^* T^* M)
$$
\n(119)

by an obvious fiberwise extension.

For convenience, we shall use here the language of covariant derivatives to specify the notion of a connection on vector bundles. This enables us to work with global geometric objects during our geometric construction of a global Thom form.

Note that the covariant derivative $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes \mathcal{E})$ induces in a natural way a covariant derivative on $\Lambda^*\mathcal{E}$, which we still denote by $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$. **Proposition 4.4.1.** Suppose $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ is metric compatible, then for every $\eta \in$ $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^*T^*M\otimes \Lambda^*\mathcal{E}),$ we have

$$
dB(\eta) = B(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} \eta). \tag{120}
$$

Proof. By definition of the Berezin integral, B can be regarded as a section $B \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^n \mathcal{E}^*)$ paired to the sections of $\Lambda^n \mathcal{E}$. Suppose $\{e^i\}$ is a local frame of \mathcal{E}^* , then B is locally given by $e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n$. The proposition follows from the Leibniz rule since $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}B=0$. \square

We apply this proposition with $M = V$ and $\mathcal{E} = p^*V$, and with connection

 $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} = p^*\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$. $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda^*T^*\mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^*(p^*\mathcal{V})\right)$ is a bigraded algebra and admits the following decomposition:

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda^* T^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^*(p^* \mathcal{V})\right) = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}^{i,j},\tag{121}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}^{i,j} = \Gamma\Big(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda^i T^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^j(p^* \mathcal{V})\Big). \tag{122}
$$

The covariant derivative defines a map $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}: \mathcal{A}^{i,j} \to \mathcal{A}^{i+1,j}$. For $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*\mathcal{V})$, the contraction by $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}, p^*\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{A}^{0,1}$ is defined by

$$
a(s)\left(\omega\otimes(s_1\wedge\cdots\wedge s_j)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{\deg\omega + k-1} \langle s, s_k \rangle \omega \otimes (s_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \widehat{s_k}\wedge\cdots\wedge s_j),
$$
\n(123)

where $s_k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}, p^*\mathcal{V})$. This defines a map $a(s) : \mathcal{A}^{i,j} \to \mathcal{A}^{i,j-1}$. In the following text, we shall identify the Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V})$ with $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{V}$, this identification can be made explicitly by the following map

$$
A \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V}) \to \sum_{i < j} \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle e_i \wedge e_j. \tag{124}
$$

Since for any $s \in \mathcal{A}^{0,1}$ and $\eta \in \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}^{i,j}$, $B(a(s)\eta) = 0$, we have by Proposition 4.4.1 the formula

$$
dB(\eta) = B\bigg(\Big(\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} - ia(s)\Big)\eta\bigg). \tag{125}
$$

Let us explain some objects needed in our geometric construction of Mathai-Quillen's Thom form:

- the tautological section $x \in \mathcal{A}^{0,1}$;
- the norm $||x||^2 \in \mathcal{A}^{0,0}$ and the covariant derivative $\nabla^{p^*V}x \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}$;
- identifying the curavture form $\Theta^{\mathcal{V}} = (\nabla^{\mathcal{V}})^2 \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V}))$ with an element of $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathcal{V})$ and pulling it back to $\mathcal V$ using the projection p, we obatain an element $\Theta^{p^*V} \in \mathcal{A}^{2,2}$.

Lemma 4.4.2.

• Let
$$
Q = \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} + i\nabla x + \Theta^{p^* \mathcal{V}} \in \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}^{i,j}
$$
. Then
\n
$$
\left(\nabla^{p^* \mathcal{V}} - ia(x)\right) Q = 0.
$$
\n(126)

• If $\rho \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, define $\rho(\mathcal{Q}) \in \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}^{i,j}$ by the formula

$$
\rho(Q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\rho^{(k)}\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}\right)}{k!} (i\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} + \Theta^{p^*\mathcal{V}})^k, \tag{127}
$$

then

$$
(\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} - ia(x))\rho(\mathcal{Q}) = 0.
$$
\n(128)

Proof. By definition of the covariant derivative, we have $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}(|x||^2)$ = $-2a(x)\nabla^{p^*}x$. Applying the covariant derivative twice gives the curvature $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}(\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}x) = a(x)\Theta^{p^*\mathcal{V}}$. While the Bianchi identity says that $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}\Theta^{p^*\mathcal{V}} =$ 0. Combining these facts we have

$$
\left(\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} - ia(x)\right)\mathcal{Q} = -a(x)\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}x + ia(x)\Theta^{p^*\mathcal{V}} + a(x)\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}}x - ia(x)\Theta^{p^*\mathcal{V}} = 0,
$$
\n(129)

where we have used the obvious fact $a(x) \|x\|^2 = 0$.

Since $\nabla^{p^*\mathcal{V}} - ia(x)$ is a derivation of the algebra $\bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}^{i,j}$, [\(128\)](#page-33-0) follows. \Box

Since $\mathcal{Q} \in \bigoplus_{0 \leq 0 \leq 2} \mathcal{A}^{k,k}$, it follows that $\rho(\mathcal{Q}) \in \bigoplus_{0 \leq 0 \leq n} \mathcal{A}^{k,k}$, therefore $B(\rho(\mathcal{Q}))\in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}, \overline{\Lambda^n T^* \mathcal{V}})$. By [\(125\)](#page-32-0) and the above lemma, we get

$$
dB(\rho(Q)) = B((\nabla^{p^*V} - ia(x))\rho(Q)) = 0,
$$
\n(130)

this shows that $B(\rho(Q))$ is a closed *n*-form on V .

We are now at a point to define *Mathai-Quillen's Thom form* on V , this is done by choosing ρ to be $(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \varepsilon(n) e^{-x}$ in $B(\rho(Q))$:

$$
u^{\mathcal{V}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \varepsilon(n) B(e^{-\mathcal{Q}}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \varepsilon(n) B\left(e^{-\left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{2} + i\nabla^{p^* \mathcal{V}} x + \Theta^{p^* \mathcal{V}}\right)}\right).
$$
 (131)

The following proposition shows that the form $u^{\mathcal{V}}$ constructed above has the same nature as its model u^V constructed in §4.3. Proposition 4.4.3.

$$
\int_{\mathcal{V}/M} u^{\mathcal{V}} = 1. \tag{132}
$$

Proof. To calculate the above integal, it suffices to consider the case in which M is a point, but this is what Proposition 4.3.1 said. \square

REMARK

Mathai-Quillen's Thom form constructed above has rapid decay at infinity instead of having compact supports on each fiber. However, using diffeomorphism from the interior of the unit ball bundle \mathcal{BV} of $\mathcal V$ to $\mathcal V$ given by

$$
v: y \to \frac{y}{\left(1 - \|y\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}},\tag{133}
$$

we can pull $u^{\mathcal{V}}$ back to obtain a Thom form $v^*u^{\mathcal{V}}$ with support in \mathcal{BV} . Apply to the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem

We are now prepared to prove the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem using our ge-ometric construction [\(131\)](#page-33-1). Assume $\mathcal V$ is an oriented rank n Riemannian vector bundle over an oriented *n*-dimensional closed manifold $M, s \in \Gamma(M, V)$. We have

$$
s^*v^*u^{\mathcal{V}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\varepsilon(n)B\Big(e^{-\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{s}\|^2}{2} + i\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}s + \Theta^{\mathcal{V}}\right)}\Big).
$$
 (134)

In particular, when s is the zero section and n is even, by Proposition 4.1.1, we get a geometric expression of the Euler class:

$$
e(\mathcal{V}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} B(e^{\Theta^{\mathcal{V}}}). \tag{135}
$$

REMARK

It follws from [\(135\)](#page-34-0) that the diffeomorphsim v is superfluous, i.e., $s^*u^{\mathcal{V}}=$ $s^*v^*u^{\mathcal{V}}$. This shows that the pullback by the zero section of a larger class than the Thom class is the Euler class, or equivalently, the converse of Proposition 4.1.1 is not true. Therefore Mathai-Quillen's Thom form should be viewed as an extension of the classical Thom class with the pullback property preserved. **Lemma 4.4.4.** For every $A \in \Lambda^2 V$, where V is a vector space, we dente by $\exp A$ its exponential in $\Lambda^* V$. Then we have the following identity:

$$
Pf(A) = B(\exp A). \tag{136}
$$

Proof. Under the identification [\(124\)](#page-32-1), we have

$$
B(\exp A) = B\Big(\exp\sum_{i < j} \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle e_i \wedge e_j\Big). \tag{137}
$$

Using the definition of a Pfaffian, a direct computation yields

$$
Pf(A) = B\Big(\exp\sum_{i < j} \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle e_i \wedge e_j\Big). \tag{138}
$$

This finishes the proof. \square By this lemma, [\(135\)](#page-34-0) becomes $e(\mathcal{V}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}Pf(\Theta^{\mathcal{V}})$. Integrate on M gives:

$$
(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \mathrm{Pf}(\Theta^{\mathcal{V}}) = \int_M e(\mathcal{V}). \tag{139}
$$

This is just the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem we proved in §4.2. **REMARK**

This proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem first appeared in [\[28\]](#page-57-3) as a consequence of their elegant construction [\(131\)](#page-33-1). Their proof made use of the transgression of $u^{\mathcal{V}}$ and the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem, see also [\[39\]](#page-58-2) and [\[41\]](#page-58-0) for expositions of their proof. Our exposition here is a simplification of their proof.

5 A Heat Equation Approach

5.1 Prologue

Intuitively, the heat flow on a Riemannian manifold M is locally controlled by the curvature tensor. That's why the short time behavior of the heat operator can be used to study the geometric structures of M . A treatise on these applications is contained in [\[9\]](#page-55-7). On the other hand, when $t \to \infty$, the heat flows over the whole manifold and therefore provides global information of the space, i.e., the topological invariants of M . The most important result on the long time behavior of the heat operator is the well-known theorem of Hodge concerning harmonic forms, see [\[23\]](#page-56-13), [\[26\]](#page-57-1), and [\[33\]](#page-57-13). Therefore it is natural to expect that by forming certain time-independent combinations of the local components of the heat kernel, one is able to link the geometric information with the topological invariants, thus formulating a proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.

In this section, we shall give a heat equation proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. Although the proof here may not be as elegant as the intrinsic argument which we discussed in §3, the heat equation method is of great power and it can be developed to prove the Atiyah-Singer index theorem (see [\[10\]](#page-56-6) and [\[23\]](#page-56-13) for expositions). Since this method has the feature of linking the local information with the global information, it is nothing strange that the proof here does not depend on the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem. Our exposition here follows from [\[23\]](#page-56-13), [\[32\]](#page-57-4) and [\[33\]](#page-57-13).

5.2 Construction of the Heat Kernel

We introduce the basic notions and construct the heat kernel for differential forms in this subsection. Throughout this section, (M, g) will be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold with an even dimension d.

Definition 5.2.1. Let V be a vector bundle over M and $C^{\infty}(M, V)$ be the space of its smooth global sections. Let $P: C^{\infty}(M, V) \to C^{\infty}(M, V)$ be a self-adjoint elliptic pseudodifferential operator with a positive definite leading symbol. The *heat equation* is the following partial differential equation:

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P)f(t, x) = 0, t \ge 0, f(0, x) = f(x).
$$
 (140)

REMARK

Since we want to prove here the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem 3.3.2, it is therefore appropriate to restrict ourselves to the case of the heat operator for the Laplacian on differential forms $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta$. Here Δ denotes the geometers' Laplacian given by $d\delta + \delta d$, δ being the adjoint of d.

Definition 5.2.2. A heat kernel is a double form $e(t, x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times$ $M, \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*M \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*M$) satisfying the following conditions:

•

•

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y)e(t, x, y) = 0,\t(141)
$$

where Δ_y means the Laplacian acts on y;

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_M e(t, x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y) = \omega(x), \forall \omega \in L^2(M, \Lambda^\bullet T^*M), \tag{142}
$$

where \star denotes the Hodge star operator and $L^2(M, \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*M)$ is the space of all L^2 -sections of the vector bundle $\Lambda^{\bullet}T^*M$ with respect to the metric $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle = \star(\omega \wedge \star \eta)$, where $\eta \in L^2(M, \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*M)$.

REMARK

It is clear from the above definition that

$$
\omega(t,x) = \int_M e(t,x,y) \wedge \star \omega(y) \tag{143}
$$

is the solution of [\(140\)](#page-36-1) with P replaced by Δ_x . This shows that to construct the heat kernel is equivalent to solving the heat equation. However, since a variable y has been added to "decompose" (140) into the above two equations [\(141\)](#page-36-2) and [\(142\)](#page-36-3), it is more convenient for us to study the heat kernel since it enables us to treat the equation and the initial condition separately on y and x.

An intermediate step for constructing the heat kernel this is to construct the parametrix, which is characterized in the following definition.

Definition 5.2.3. A *parametrix* for the heat operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y$ is a double form $H(t, x, y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times M, \Lambda^{\bullet}T^*M \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}T^*M)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y\right)H \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \bigcup \{0\} \times M \times M, \Lambda^{\bullet}T^*M \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}T^*M);$
- $\lim_{t\to 0} \int_M H(t, x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y) = \omega(x), \omega \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda^{\bullet} T^*M).$

For differential *p*-forms, let $N > \frac{d}{2}$ and define

$$
H_N^p(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{4t}} \sum_{i=0}^N t^i u^{i, p}(x, y), i, p \in \mathbb{Z}, (x, y) \in U \times U, (144)
$$

where $r(x, y)$ is the geodesic distance between x and y, $U \times U$ is a sufficiently small open neighborhood of the diagonal $\blacktriangle \subset M \times M$ and $u^{i,p}(x, y) \in C^\infty(U \times$ $U, \Lambda^p T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p T^*M$ are to be determined.

Note first that every $u^{i,p}(x, y)$ can be naturally identified with an element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^p T_x^* M, \Lambda^p T_y^* M)$, the homomorphisms between the vector spaces $A^pT_x^*M$ and $A^pT_y^*M$. We claim that the following two conditions determine the double forms $u^{i,p}(x, y)$ uniquely, therefore $H_N^p(t, x, y)$ are well-defined with these restrictions:

• $u^{0,p}(x, x) = \text{Id} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^p T_x^* M)$, i.e., the identity endomorphsim of the vector space $A^pT_x^*M$;

•

$$
(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p) H_N^p(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{4t}} t^N \Delta_y^p u^{N, p}(x, y), \tag{145}
$$

where the superscript p means the Laplacian acts on p -forms.

PROOF OF THE CLAIM

Fix an $x \in M$ and introduce the Riemannian normal coordinates in the open neighborhood U of x, then $g_{ij}(y) = \delta_{ij} + O(r^2(x, y))$. For $h(r(x, y)) \in C^{\infty}(U)$ and $\omega \in C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^p T^*M)$, computation gives

$$
\Delta_y^p(h\omega) = -\left(\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d-1}{r}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\omega - \frac{2}{r}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\omega - h\Delta_y^p\omega,\tag{146}
$$

where $g = det(g_{ij})$ and $\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}$ is the covariant derivative induced by the Levi-Civita connection with respect to $r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. Let $h = e^{-\frac{r^2(x,y)}{4t}}$ in [\(146\)](#page-37-0) to get

$$
\Delta_y^p(e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}\omega) = -e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}\left((\frac{r^2 - 2d}{4t} - \frac{r}{4gt}\frac{\partial g}{\partial r})\omega - \frac{1}{t}\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\omega - \Delta_y^p\omega\right).
$$
 (147)

Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p\right) H_N^p(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}.
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=0}^N \left(\left(\frac{i}{t} + \frac{r}{4gt} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r}\right) t^i u^{i, p}(x, y) + t^{i-1} \nabla_{r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}} u^{i, p}(x, y) + t^i \Delta_y^p u^{i, p}(x, y) \right). \tag{148}
$$

By [\(145\)](#page-37-1), the coefficient of $(4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}t^{i-1}$ in $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p)H_N^p(t, x, y)$ must be 0, it follows that

$$
\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}u^{i,p}(x,y) + (i + \frac{r}{4g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial r})u^{i,p}(x,y) = -\Delta_y^p u^{i-1,p}(x,y). \tag{149}
$$

Now it is clear that to prove the claim, we only need to show that for every $\eta \in \Lambda^p T^*_x M$, the differential equations

$$
\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}u^{i,p}(\eta,y) + (i + \frac{r}{4g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial r})u^{i,p}(\eta,y) = -\Delta_y^p u^{i-1,p}(\eta,y), 0 \le i \le N \quad (150)
$$

have unique solutions with the initial condition $u^{0,p}(\eta, x) = \eta$, here we adopt the convention $u^{-1,p}(x, y) \equiv 0$.

Since we will argue by induction, it is convenient to rewrite equation [\(150\)](#page-38-0) in the following way:

$$
\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\left(r^{i}g^{\frac{1}{4}}u^{i,p}(\eta,y)\right) = -r^{i}g^{\frac{1}{4}}\Delta_{y}^{p}u^{i-1,p}(\eta,y).
$$
 (151)

Fix a $y \in U$ and let $y(s), 0 \le s \le r(x, y)$ be the geodesic from y to x. Use $\|y(s)\|_{y(s)}$ to denote the isomorphism $\Lambda^p T^*_y M \cong \Lambda^p T^*_{y(s)} M$ induced by the parallel translation along the geodesic. Let $u^{0,p}(\eta, y) = g^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y)$, then $u^{0,p}(\eta, x) = \eta$, equation [\(151\)](#page-38-1) is satisfied for $i = 0$. Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and suppose that for $i < k$ we have determined the forms $u^{i,p}(\eta, y)$ satisfying [\(151\)](#page-38-1), then we define $u^{m,p}(\eta, y)$ as

$$
u^{m,p}(\eta, y) = -r(x, y)^{-m} g^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y) .
$$

$$
\int_0^{r(x,y)} \left(r(x, y(s)) \right)^{m-1} g^{\frac{1}{4}}(y(s)) \, \|_{y(s)} \left(\Delta_y^p u^{m-1,p} \left(\eta, y(s) \right) \right) ds. \quad (152)
$$

It is clear that $u^{m,p}(\eta, y) \in C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^p T^*M)$ and it can be checked that $u^{m,p}(\eta, y)$ satisfies the equation [\(151\)](#page-38-1) for $i = m$. This proves the existence part.

To prove the uniqueness, we derive from [\(145\)](#page-37-1) the important relation

$$
iu^{i,p}(\eta, x) = -\left(\Delta_y^p u^{i-1,p}(\eta, y)\right)(\eta, x). \tag{153}
$$

Compared with [\(151\)](#page-38-1), it suffices to prove that any $\zeta \in C^{\infty}(U, A^pT^*M)$ satisfying $\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\zeta = 0$ and $\zeta(x) = 0$ must be a zero section. This is trivially true because $\zeta(y)$ is just the parallel translation of $\zeta(x)$ along the geodesic for any point $y \in U$. \Box

We can now construct the parametrix from H_N^p $\frac{p}{N}(t, x, y)$. Let $W_1 \times W_1$ and $W_2 \times W_2$ be open sets such that $\overline{W_1 \times W_1} \subset \overline{W_2 \times W_2} \subset U \times U$. Choose $\phi(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(M \times M)$ such that $\phi(x, y) = 1$ in $W_1 \times W_1$ and $\phi(x, y) = 0$ outside $W_2 \times W_2$. We define

$$
G_N^p(t, x, y) = \phi(x, y) H_N^p(t, x, y),
$$
\n(154)

and

$$
K_N^p(t, x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p\right) G_N^p(t, x, y). \tag{155}
$$

Lemma 5.2.4. $G_N^p(t, x, y)$ is a parametrix for differential p-forms when $N > \frac{d}{2}$.

Proof. We first show that $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p\right) G_N^p(t, x, y)$ is continuous at $t = 0$. Since $G_N^p = 0$ on $M \times M \setminus W_2 \times W_2$, we only need to consider the problem on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times W_2 \times W_2$.

On $\mathbb{R}_+ \times W_1 \times W_1$, by [\(145\)](#page-37-1) and the assumption $N > \frac{d}{2}$

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p) G_N^p(t, x, y) = \lim_{t \to 0} (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p) H_N^p(t, x, y) \n= \lim_{t \to 0} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{4t}} t^N \Delta_y^p u^{N, p}(x, y) \n= 0.
$$
\n(156)

Finally, on $W_2 \times W_2 \setminus W_1 \times W_1$, use [\(146\)](#page-37-0) we have

$$
\begin{split}\n(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p) G_N^p(t, x, y) &= \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p \right) \left(\phi H_N^p(t, x, y) \right) \\
&= -\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{d - 1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) H_N^p(t, x, y) \\
&- \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \nabla_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} H_N^p(t, x, y) - \phi \Delta_y^p H_N^p(t, x, y) \\
&= \psi_1(x, y) H_N^p + \psi_2(x, y) \nabla_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} H_N^p - \phi \Delta_y^p H_N^p,\n\end{split} \tag{157}
$$

where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^{\infty}(M \times M)$. We can thus deduce from [\(157\)](#page-39-0) that

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p \right) G_N^p(t, x, y) = 0. \tag{158}
$$

To show that $G_N^p(t, x, y)$ satisfies the second condition in Definition 5.2.3, we may assume that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subset W_1$, where $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is the open ball centering at x with radius ε . We then have

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{M} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{M \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y).
$$
\n(159)

Since $r \geq \varepsilon$, the second integral above vanishes. To compute the first integral, we use the exponential map \exp_x to pull the integral back to T_xM :

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0) \subset T_x M} J(\exp_x) (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2(0, v)}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, \exp_x v) \wedge \star \omega(\exp_x v)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{T_x M} J(\exp_x) (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2(0, v)}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, \exp_x v) \wedge \star \omega(\exp_x v), \qquad (160)
$$

where $\exp_x(v) = y$, $u^{i,p}$ are extended to be 0 outside $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $J(\exp_x)$ denotes the Jacobian of \exp_x .

Since $(4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}}$ is the ordinary heat kernel of \mathbb{R}^d , by Definition 5.2.2 we have

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{T_x M \cong \mathbb{R}^d} J(\exp_x)(4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \phi(x, y) e^{-\frac{r^2(0, v)}{4t}} u^{i, p}(x, \exp_x v) \wedge \star \omega(\exp_x v)
$$
\n
$$
= \star \left(u^{i, p}(x, x) \wedge \star \omega(x) \right) = \left\langle u^{i, p}(x, x), \omega(x) \right\rangle. \tag{161}
$$

Since $u^{0,p}(x,x) =$ Id by our construction, we finally get

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_M (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \phi(x, y) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N t^i u^{i, p}(x, y) \right) \wedge \star \omega(y) = \omega(x), \tag{162}
$$

since all the summands in [\(162\)](#page-40-0) vanishes trivially except the first one. \Box We now complete the construction of the heat kernel. For a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_z$ on Λ^pT^*M , there is a natural way to extend it to $\Lambda^pT^*M \otimes \Lambda^pT^*M$:

$$
\langle \eta(x) \otimes \omega_1(z), \zeta(y) \otimes \omega_2(z) \rangle = \langle \omega_1(z), \omega_2(z) \rangle_z \eta(x) \otimes \zeta(y), \qquad (163)
$$

where $\eta(x) \otimes \omega_1(z)$, $\zeta(y) \otimes \omega_2(z) \in C^\infty(M \times M, \Lambda^pT^*M \otimes \Lambda^pT^*M)$. Using this notation, we define

$$
K^{0}(t, x, y) = K_{N}^{p}(t, x, y),
$$

\n
$$
K^{m}(t, x, y) = \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{M} \left\langle K^{m-1}(s, x, z), K_{N}^{p}(t - s, z, y) \right\rangle dvol_{z}, m \ge 1, (164)
$$

and

$$
e^{p}(t, x, y) = G_{N}^{p}(t, x, y) + \sum_{m \ge 0} (-1)^{m+1} \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{M} \left\langle K^{m}(s, x, z), G_{N}^{p}(t - s, z, y) \right\rangle dvol_{z}.
$$
 (165)

Theorem 5.2.5. $e^p(t, x, y)$ defined above is the heat kernel for differential p-forms.

Proof. We first show that $e^p(t, x, y)$ is well defined, i.e., the right hand side of [\(165\)](#page-41-0) converges everywhere to a smooth double form.

We do some localization to make the coordinates available in our estimate. Since M is compact, there is a finite family of open sets $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i$ and $W_i \subset U_i$, where $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the coordinate chart of M. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a partition of unity relative to the covering $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be C^{∞} -functions with supports in U_i which are identically 1 on W_i . For any $\xi(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(M \times M, \Lambda^pT^*M \otimes \Lambda^pT^*M)$, we define the localized form $\xi_{i,j}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(U_i \times U_j, \Lambda^p T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p T^*M)$ as

$$
\xi_{i,j}(x,y) = \lambda_i(x)\lambda_j(y)\xi(x,y). \tag{166}
$$

As a consequence, any $\mu(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(U_i \times U_j, \Lambda^pT^*M \otimes \Lambda^pT^*M)$ can be expressed by

$$
\mu(x, y) = a_{IJ}(x, y) dx^I \otimes dy^J, \qquad (167)
$$

where $I = \{i_k | 1 \le k \le p, i_1 < \cdots < i_p\}$ and $J = \{j_k | 1 \le k \le p, j_1 < \cdots < j_p\}$ are multi-indices and $a_{IJ}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}(U_i \times U_j)$. To begin our estimate, it is natural to introduce the following norm:

$$
\left\| \mu(x, y) \right\|_{i,j} = \sum_{I, J} \sup_{x \in U_i, y \in U_j} \left| a_{IJ}(x, y) \right|, \tag{168}
$$

It is clear that to bound the right hand side of [\(165\)](#page-41-0), we only need to bound K^m , i.e., to bound the norm $||K_{i,j}^m||_{i,j}$. By [\(145\)](#page-37-1), there exists a constant C_1 such that $||K_{i,j}^0||_{i,j} \leq C_1 t^{N-\frac{d}{2}}$. We can then proceed by induction to obtain a bound for $\|\tilde{K}_{i,j}^m\|_{i,j}$. Suppose that we have

$$
\left\|K_{i,j}^{m-1}(t,x,y)\right\|_{i,j} \le (C_2 C_1)^m t^{m(N-\frac{d}{2})+m-1} \frac{\Gamma^m(N-\frac{d}{2}+1)}{\Gamma\left(m(N-\frac{d}{2})+m\right)}\tag{169}
$$

for some constant C_2 . By the definition of $K_{i,j}^m$, we have

$$
K_{i,j}^{m}(t, x, y) =
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{M} \left\langle \lambda_{i}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{i}(z) K^{m-1}(s, x, z), \lambda_{j}(y) K_{N}^{p}(t-s, z, y) \right\rangle dvol_{z}.
$$
 (170)

It follows easily that

$$
\left\| K_{i,j}^{m}(t,x,y) \right\|_{i,j} \leq C_{3}C_{1}(C_{2}C_{1})^{m} \frac{\Gamma^{m}(N - \frac{d}{2} + 1)}{\Gamma\left(m(N - \frac{d}{2}) + m\right)} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} s^{m(N - \frac{d}{2}) + m - 1} (t - s)^{N - \frac{d}{2}} ds,
$$
\n(171)

where C_3 is a constant independent of m. Since we may assume that $C_2 > C_3$ and $C_1 \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\left\|K_{i,j}^m(t,x,y)\right\|_{i,j} \le (C_2 C_1)^{m+1} t^{(m+1)(N-\frac{d}{2})+m} \frac{\Gamma^{m+1}(N-\frac{d}{2}+1)}{\Gamma\left((m+1)(N-\frac{d}{2})+m+1\right)}.
$$
\n(172)

This shows that the right hand side of [\(165\)](#page-41-0) converges uniformly to a smooth double p-form. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}\n &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_y^p\right) e^p(t, x, y) \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \Big(K^m(t, x, y) + K^{m+1}(t, x, y) \Big) + K_N^p(t, x, y) \\
 &= K_N^p(t, x, y) - K_N^p(t, x, y) = 0,\n \end{aligned} \tag{173}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_M e^p(t, x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\int_M G_N^p(t, x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y) + \sum_{m=0}^\infty (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^t ds \int_M \left(\int_M \left\langle K^m(s, x, z), G_N^p(t - s, z, y) \right\rangle d\text{vol}_z \right) \wedge \star \omega(y) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \omega(x)
$$

by Lemma 5.2.4 and the estimate [\(172\)](#page-42-0), $e^p(t, x, y)$ is the heat kernel by Definition 5.2.2. \Box

We finish this subsection by expressing $e^p(t, x, y)$ with respect to a basis of $L^2(M, A^pT^*M \otimes A^pT^*M)$. This expression will lead to an integral expression of the trace of the heat operator, which will be used in our discussion in the next section.

Proposition 5.2.6. Let $\{\beta^{i,p}\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M, \Lambda^pT^*M)$ satisfying $\Delta^p\beta^{i,p} = \lambda_i^p\beta^{i,p}$. Then we have the pointwise convergence

$$
e^{p}(t,x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^{p} t} \beta^{i,p}(x) \otimes \beta^{i,p}(y).
$$
 (174)

Proof. Since $e^p(t, x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times M, \Lambda^pT^*M \otimes \Lambda^pT^*M)$, we have

$$
e^{p}(t, x, y) = e_{i}^{p}(t, x)\beta^{i, p}(y), e_{i}^{p}(t, x) = \star \Big(e^{p}(t, x, y) \wedge \star \beta^{i, p}(y)\Big).
$$
 (175)

Then by the self-adjoint property of Δ_y^p :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_i^p(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \star \left(e^p(t,x,y) \wedge \star \beta^{i,p}(y)\right)
$$

\n
$$
= -\star \left(\Delta_y^p e^p(t,x,y) \wedge \star \beta^{i,p}(y)\right)
$$

\n
$$
= -\star \left(e^p(t,x,y) \wedge \star \Delta_y^p \beta^{i,p}(y)\right)
$$

\n
$$
= -\lambda_i^p \star \left(e^p(t,x,y) \wedge \star \beta^{i,p}(y)\right)
$$

\n
$$
= -\lambda_i^p e^{i,p}(t,x).
$$
 (176)

Solving the differential equation [\(176\)](#page-43-0) to get e_i^p $i^p(t, x) = c^p_i$ $i^p(x)e^{-\lambda_i^p t}.$ For an arbitrary $\omega = a_i \beta^{i,p} \in L^2(\Lambda^p T^*M)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, we have by Definition 5.2.2 that

$$
\omega(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \star \left(e^p(t, x, y) \wedge \star \omega(y) \right)
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to 0} \star \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} c_i^p(x) \beta^{i, p}(y) \wedge \star a_j \beta^{j, p}(y) \right)
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to 0} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} c_i^p(x) a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^p(x) a_i,
$$
 (177)

which implies c_i^p $i_i^p(x) = \beta^{i,p}(x)$. Then [\(175\)](#page-43-1) becomes

$$
e^{p}(t,x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^{p} t} \beta^{i,p}(x) \otimes \beta^{i,p}(y) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times M \times M, \Lambda^{p}T^{*}M \otimes \Lambda^{p}T^{*}M).
$$
\n(178)

As a result, there exists a subsequence of the right hand side of [\(174\)](#page-43-2) which converges a.e. to $e^p(t, x, y)$ on M.

By Parseval's equality

$$
\left\langle e^p(\frac{t}{2},x,z), e^p(\frac{t}{2},y,z) \right\rangle_z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} \beta^{i,p}(x) \otimes \beta^{i,p}(y). \tag{179}
$$

This shows that the right hand side of [\(174\)](#page-43-2) converges everywhere to a continuous limit, and the limit must be $e^p(t, x, y)$ by the discussions above. \Box Corollary 5.2.7.

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} = \text{Tr}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta^p) = \int_M \text{tr}_x e^p(t, x, x) \text{dvol}_x,
$$
\n(180)

where Tr denotes the trace of an operator and tr_x denotes the trace of $e^p(t, y, x)$ at $y = x$.

Proof. By the above proposition,

$$
\int_{M} \text{tr}_{x} e^{p}(t, x, x) \text{dvol}_{x} = \int_{M} \text{tr}_{x} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{i}^{p} t} \beta^{i, p}(x) \otimes \beta^{i, p}(x) \Big) \text{dvol}_{x}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{i}^{p} t} \int_{M} \text{tr}_{x} \Big(\beta^{i, p}(x) \otimes \beta^{i, p}(x) \Big) \text{dvol}_{x}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{i}^{p} t} \Big\langle \beta^{i, p}(x), \beta^{i, p}(x) \Big\rangle_{x}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{i}^{p} t} = \text{Tr}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_{y}^{p}). \tag{181}
$$

The proof is complete. \square

REMARK

This corollary justifies the abbreviation $e^{-t\Delta^p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta^p$. We will assume this convention in the following discussions.

5.3 Supertrace and the McKean-Singer Conjecture

To describe the McKean-Singer conjecture we need the asymptotic expansion of the trace of $e^{-t\Delta^p}$.

Definition 5.3.1. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that the formal power series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ is the asymptotic expansion of $A(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and write $A(t) \sim \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ if for all $N \geq i_0$, we have

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{A(t) - \sum_{i=i_0}^{N} a_i t^i}{t^N} = 0.
$$
\n(182)

Proposition 5.3.2. Suppose $\{\lambda^p_i\}$ $\{P_i\}$ is the spectrum of Δ_x^p , then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_i^p t} \sim (4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_M \text{tr}_x u^{i,p}(x,x) \text{dvol}_x \right) t^i.
$$
 (183)

Proof. It is straightforward from [\(144\)](#page-37-2) and [\(165\)](#page-41-0) that

$$
e^{p}(t, x, x) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u^{i, p}(x, x) t^{i}.
$$
 (184)

By Corollary 5.2.7, we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} = \int_M \text{tr}_x e^p(t, x, x) \text{dvol}_x \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_M \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u^{i, p}(x, x) t^i \text{dvol}_x
$$

$$
\sim (4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_M \text{tr}_x u^{i, p}(x, x) \text{dvol}_x \right) t^i.
$$
(185)

This finishes the proof. \square

Webegin with the following crucial observation of McKean and Singer $([29])$ $([29])$ $([29])$: **Lemma 5.3.3.** Let Δ^p be the Laplacian on p-forms. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let E_{λ}^p $\frac{p}{\lambda}$ be the λ -eigenspace of Δ^p . Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \to E^0_\lambda \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} E^d_\lambda \to 0. \tag{186}
$$

Proof. First note that the sequence is well defined, since if $\omega \in E^p_\lambda$ χ^p , then $\Delta^{p+1}d\omega = d\Delta^p\omega = \lambda d\omega.$ If $\omega \in E_{\lambda}^p$ $_{\lambda}^{p}$ has $d\omega = 0$, then

$$
d(\frac{1}{\lambda}\delta\omega) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\Delta^p\omega - \frac{1}{\lambda}\delta d\omega = \frac{1}{\lambda}\Delta^p\omega = \omega,
$$
 (187)

this finishes the proof. \square Corollary 5.3.4.

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \dim_{\mathbb{R}} E_{\lambda}^p = 0, \lambda > 0.
$$
 (188)

Proof. By the above lemma, $d + \delta$ defines an isomorphism $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\frac{d}{2}} E_{\lambda}^{2k} \to$ $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} E_\lambda^{2k+1}$ $\lambda^{\frac{2k+1}{\lambda}}$, then [\(188\)](#page-45-0) follows directly. \Box Recall that the spectrum of the Laplacian on a compact manifold must be discrete, then we have the following important corollary: **Corollary 5.3.5.** Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be the spectrum of Δ^p . Then

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} = \sum_{p=0}^{d} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker \Delta^p.
$$
 (189)

Proof. By the above corollary, we have

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} = \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \sum_{i,\lambda_i^p=0} e^{-\lambda_i^p t} = \sum_{p=0}^{d} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker \Delta^p.
$$
 (190)

This proves the corollary. \square

This leads naturally to the following definition.

Definition 5.3.6. Let V be a vector bundle over M and V_i^* its dual bundle. For every $A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^*V^*)$, we define the *supertrace* $\text{Tr}\left((-1)^F A\right)$ of A as the trace of A on even forms minus the trace of A on odd forms.
Using this definition, Corollary 5.3.5 reads $\text{Tr}\left((-1)^F e^{-t\Delta}\right) = \sum_{p=0}^d \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker \Delta^p$. It leads directly to the following surprising result: Theorem 5.3.7.

$$
(4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_M \sum_{p=0}^d (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{i,p}(x,x) \text{dvol}_x = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq \frac{d}{2} \\ \chi(M) & i = \frac{d}{2}, \dim M \text{ even} \end{cases}
$$
(191)

Proof. First note that $\text{Tr}\left((-1)^F e^{-t\Delta}\right)$ is independent of t by Corollary 5.3.5. By the Hodge theorem, we have

$$
\chi(M) = \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{\text{dR}}^p(M, \mathbb{R}) = \sum_{p=0}^{d} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \ker \Delta^p
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}\left((-1)^F e^{-t\Delta}\right) = \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \int_M \text{tr}_x e^p(t, x, x) \text{dvol}_x,
$$
(192)

Using Proposition 5.3.2, we get the asymptotic expansion of $\chi(M)$:

$$
\chi(M) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Big(\int_M \sum_{p=0}^d (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{i,p}(x,x) \text{dvol}_x \Big) t^i.
$$
 (193)

Since $\chi(M)$ is independent of t, only the constant term on the right hand side of [\(193\)](#page-46-0) can be nonzero. \Box

Compare this theorem with the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem [\(61\)](#page-17-1), it is reasonable to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.3.8. (McKean-Singer) For every even d,

$$
\Omega = (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x) \text{dvol}_x,
$$
\n(194)

where Ω is the Gauss-Bonnet integrand defined by [\(32\)](#page-12-1). **REMARKS**

- The conjecture is trivial for $d = 2$, since it is well-known that $u^{1,0}(x, x) =$ $\frac{1}{6}R_i^i$, where R_i^i is the scalar curvature. This leads to a proof of the classical Gauss-Bonnet theorem on surfaces. In [\[29\]](#page-57-12), McKean and Singer also proved their conjecture for $d = 4$ by expressing $u^{2,0}(x, x)$ in terms of curvature tensors. Although it is known that for any $i > 0$, $u^{i,0}(x, x)$ is a sum of universal polynomials of curvature tensors, the computation for $i \geq 3$ seems hopelessly complicated. The interested reader may refer to [\[6\]](#page-55-5), [\[23\]](#page-56-13) and [\[33\]](#page-57-13) for these results.
- Note that by combining the local property (asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel) with the global property (spectral theory of the Laplacian), we have successfully related curvature with the topological invariant $\chi(M)$.

5.4 Proof of the McKean-Singer Conjecture

It is obvious that to complete our proof of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem, one only need to prove the McKean-Singer conjecture. This is first done by Patodi in [\[32\]](#page-57-4), using classical tensor calculus. We will present his proof here since this is the most direct and self-contained approach. Another proof using invariance theory can be found in [\[23\]](#page-56-13). A third proof using fermion calculus is contained in [\[33\]](#page-57-13). A fourth proof using calculus of Clifford algebras was discovered in [\[22\]](#page-56-14).

Since our proof is based on tensor calculus, we restate our main result in this section as follows:

Theorem 5.4.1. (McKean-Singer-Patodi) The following formula holds:

$$
(4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x) =
$$

$$
c_d \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma_1 \text{sgn } \sigma_2 R_{\sigma_1(1)\sigma_1(2)\sigma_2(1)\sigma_2(2)} \cdots R_{\sigma_1(d-1)\sigma_1(d)\sigma_2(d-1)\sigma_2(d)}, \quad (195)
$$

where $c_d = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2 \times \frac{d}{2})}$ $\frac{\left(-1\right)2}{\left(8\pi\right)\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)!}$.

As we shall see, the proof of the McKean-Singer conjecture is a process of canceling terms. The remarkable cancelation lemma proved by Patodi in [\[32\]](#page-57-4) actually provides much more than Theorem 5.4.1 requires. To prove Patodi's cancelation lemma, a series of technical lemmas will be needed, and we will establish them in the sequel. We first introduce two useful operators which will appear frequently in our computations.

Let V be a vector bundle over M of rank d, V^* its dual. Then we can form the exterior product $\Lambda^p V$. An operator $\mathcal{A} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ extends naturally to an element of $\Lambda^p V$ in the following two ways:

$$
\Lambda^p \mathcal{A}(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p) = \mathcal{A}v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{A}v_p, v_i \in V, 1 \leq i \leq p; \tag{196}
$$

$$
D^p \mathcal{A}(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i-1} \wedge \mathcal{A} v_i \wedge v_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p, \qquad (197)
$$

where we have adopted the convention that $\Lambda^0 \mathcal{A} = Id \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D^0 \mathcal{A} =$ $0 \in$ End(\mathbb{R}).

The following three lemmas are purely algebraic and do not include covariant derivatives, they can be regarded as a baby version of Patodi's cancelation lemma and its applications.

Lemma 5.4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$, $k \leq d$. When $k = d$, suppose that $\det(x_1\mathcal{A}_1+\cdots+x_d\mathcal{A}_d)=a_1x_1^d+\cdots+a_dx_d^d+\cdots+a_{12\cdots d}x_1\cdots x_d$ for some coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_d, \dots, a_{12\cdots d} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left((-1)^F D^p \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ D^p \mathcal{A}_k\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < d \\ (-1)^d a_{12 \cdots d} & k = d \end{cases}
$$
 (198)

the notation $\text{Tr}\left((-1)^F\text{D}^p\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\text{D}^p\mathcal{A}_k\right)$ is justified by the identification between V and \dot{V}^* .

Proof. Elementary linear algebra yields

$$
\det(I - e^{x_1 A_1} \cdots e^{x_k A_k}) = \text{Tr}\left((-1)^F \Lambda^p(e^{x_1 A_1} \cdots e^{x_k A_k})\right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}\left((-1)^F e^{x_1 \mathcal{D}^p A_1} \cdots e^{x_k \mathcal{D}^p A_k}\right).
$$
(199)

The proof will be completed by comparing the coefficients of $x_1 \cdots x_k$ in the above equality. above equality.

The next lemma needs some explanation of the notations. Recall that the tensor product $V^* \otimes V$ can be identified with $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$, therefore we can introduce the map $\rho_p : C^{\infty} (U, (V^* \otimes V) \times (V^* \otimes V)) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^p V)$ by

 $\rho_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = D^p \mathcal{A} \circ D^p \mathcal{B}$. This is a bilinear map and will therefore induce a linear map $\widetilde{\rho}_p : C^\infty(U, V^* \otimes V \otimes V^* \otimes V) \to \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda^p V)$. For $\mathcal{A} \in C^\infty(U, V^* \otimes V)$ $V \otimes V^* \otimes V$, we shall identify $D^p \mathcal{A}$ with $\widetilde{\rho}_p(D^p \mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 5.4.3. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l < \frac{d}{2}$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$. $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_l \in C^\infty(U, V^* \otimes$ $V \otimes V^* \otimes V$). Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left((-1)^F D^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(1)} \circ \cdots \circ D^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l)}\right) = 0. \tag{200}
$$

Proof. This is an easy corollary of Lemma 5.4.2. \Box

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be smooth sections of V which form a local frame on U, and ${e_1^*, \dots, e_d^*}$ its fiberwise dual, then $A \in C^\infty(U, V^* \otimes V \otimes V^* \otimes V)$ has the form $\mathcal{A} = a^{ijkl} e_i^* \otimes e_j \otimes e_k^* \otimes e_l$, where $a^{ijkl} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 5.4.4. With the abbreviation $(D^p \mathcal{A})^{\frac{d}{2}} = D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \cdots \circ D^p \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left((-1)^F (D^p \mathcal{A})^{\frac{d}{2}}\right) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_d} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_1 \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_2 a^{\sigma_1(1)\sigma_1(2)\sigma_2(1)\sigma_2(2)} \dots a^{\sigma_1(d-1)\sigma_1(d)\sigma_2(d-1)\sigma_2(d)}.
$$
\n(201)

Proof. By Lemma 5.4.2 we have

$$
\begin{split}\n& \text{Tr}\left((-1)^F(D^p\mathcal{A})^{\frac{d}{2}}\right) \\
&= \text{Tr}\left((-1)^F a^{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2} \cdots a^{i_{d-1} j_{d-1} i_d j_d} D^p(e_{i_1}^* \otimes e_{j_1}) \circ D^p(e_{i_2}^* \otimes e_{j_2})\right) \circ \\
& \cdots \circ D^p(e_{i_{d-1}}^* \otimes e_{j_{d-1}}) D^p(e_{i_d}^* \otimes e_{j_d}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_d \\ j_1, \dots, j_d}} a^{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2} \cdots a^{i_{d-1} j_{d-1} i_d j_d} \times \\
& \text{coefficients of } x^1 \cdots x^d \text{ in } \det(x^k e_{j_k}^* \otimes e_{j_k})\n\end{split}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_d} \text{sgn } \sigma_1 \text{sgn } \sigma_2 a^{\sigma_1(1)\sigma_1(2)\sigma_2(1)\sigma_2(2)} \cdots a^{\sigma_1(d-1)\sigma_1(d)\sigma_2(d-1)\sigma_2(d)}.
$$
 (202)

The proof is complete. \square

From now on we shall work with $V = T^*M$ to obtain some lemmas concerning the commutation of the covariant derivatives.

Lemma 5.4.5. Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_m \in C^\infty(U, TM), A \in C^\infty(U, TM \otimes T^*M),$ then we have the following relation:

$$
\nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_m} \circ D^p \mathcal{A} = D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_m}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_m \\ \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k) \\ \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(m)}} D^p \left(\nabla_{X_{\sigma(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(k)}} (\mathcal{A}) \right) \circ
$$

$$
\nabla_{X_{\sigma(k+1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(m)}}.
$$

(203)

Proof. We will argue by induction. For $m = 1$, [\(203\)](#page-49-0) reads

$$
\nabla_{X_1} \circ D^p \mathcal{A} \omega = D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \nabla_{X_1} \omega + D^p (\nabla_{X_1} \mathcal{A}) \omega, \omega \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda^p T^* M). \tag{204}
$$

We verify [\(204\)](#page-50-0) by induction on p. For $p = 1$, (204) holds by the definition of a covariant derivative. Since the operators $D^p A$ and ∇_{X_1} satisfy the axioms of a derivation, one verifies easily that if [\(204\)](#page-50-0) holds for $\omega_1 \in C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^p T^*M)$ and $\omega_2 \in C^{\infty}(U, \Lambda^q T^*M)$, then it also holds for $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$. This finishes the verification.

Suppose $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and the lemma holds for $m \leq i$, by hypothesis we have

$$
\nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{i+1}} \n= \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_i} D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \nabla_{X_{i+1}} + \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_i} \circ D^p (\nabla_{X_{i+1}} \mathcal{A}) \n= D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{i+1}} + \n\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_i \\ \sigma_1(\mathbf{k}) < \cdots < \sigma_1(k) \\ \sigma_1(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_1(i) \\ \sigma_1(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_1(i)}} D^p (\nabla_{X_{\sigma_1(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma_1(k)}} (\mathcal{A}))\n\right) \n\circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma_1(k+1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma_1(i)}} D^p (\nabla_{X_{\sigma_2(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma_2(k)}} (\mathcal{A})) \n+ \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{\substack{\sigma_2(\mathbf{k}) < \cdots < \sigma_2(k) \\ \sigma_2(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_2(k) \\ \sigma_2(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_2(i) \\ \sigma_2(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma_2(k)}} D^p (\nabla_{X_{\sigma_2(i)}} \n= D^p \mathcal{A} \circ \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma_{i+1}}} + \n\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_{i+1} \\ \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k) \\ \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(i+1)}} D^p (\nabla_{X_{\sigma(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(k)}} (\mathcal{A})) \n\circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(k+1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(i+1)}}.
$$
\n(205)

This proves the lemma. \square

The following result is just an anologue of Lemma 5.4.5 under the notation convention which we have explained above.

Lemma 5.4.6. Suppose $A \in C^{\infty}(U, TM \otimes T^*M \otimes TM \otimes T^*M), X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ m are as above, then [\(203\)](#page-49-0) holds.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $A = B \otimes C$ with $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in C^{\infty}(U, TM \otimes T^*M)$. Then by Lemma 5.4.5, one easily verifies that [\(203\)](#page-49-0) holds for $m = 1$. The whole lemma follows by an induction argument

on m , which is similar with the proof of Lemma 5.4.5, and we shall omit the details.

Recall that the Riemann curvature tensor R is of type $(1,3)$, therefore it can be identified with a tensor of type (2,2) via the Riemannian metric. Also, it is evident that $R(X, Y) \in C^{\infty}(U, TM \otimes T^*M)$ with $X, Y \in C^{\infty}(U, TM)$. Thus both $D^p R$ and $D^p(R(X, Y))$ are well defined.

Lemma 5.4.7. Suppose $X \in C^{\infty}(U, TM), X_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ are as above, then

$$
\nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_m} \circ \nabla_X = \nabla_X \circ \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_m} +
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_m \\ \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(j+1) \\ \sigma(j+2) < \cdots < \sigma(m)}} D^p \left(\nabla_{X_{\sigma(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(j)}} R(X, X_{\sigma(j+1)}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
\circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(j+2)}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{\sigma(m)}} +
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{X_1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_{i-1}} \circ \nabla_{[X_i, X]} \circ \nabla_{X_{i+1}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{X_m}, \tag{206}
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket.

Proof. For $m = 1$ and $p = 1$, [\(206\)](#page-51-0) is just the definition of R. Then the lemma follows by first applying induction on p , then arguing inductively on m. Since the proof is similar with that of Lemma 5.4.5, we shall omit the details. \Box

These commutation lemmas enables us to generalize Lemma 5.4.2 and 5.4.3 to obtain a cancelation lemma which is powerful enough to prove Theorem 5.4.1.

Lemma 5.4.8. (Patodi's Cancelation Lemma) Suppose $l_1, l_2, l_3, i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying one of the following two conditions:

- $l_3 > 0$, $l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3 + 2i \le d$;
- $l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3 + 2i < d$.

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_{l_1+l_2}, j_1, \dots j_{l_3} \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{l_1} \in C^{\infty}(U, TM \otimes T^*M),$ and $\mathcal{A}_{l_1+1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{l_1+l_2} \in C^{\infty}(U, TM \otimes T^*M \otimes TM \otimes T^*M)$. Then

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l_1+l_2)} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j_1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j_{l_3}}}} \bigg(u^{i,p}(x,y) \bigg) \bigg) (x,x) = 0,
$$
\n(207)

where all the operators act on y.

Proof. We shall argue by induction on i and l_3 . For $i = l_3 = 0$, [\(207\)](#page-51-1) holds by Lemma 5.4.2 and Lemma 5.4.3. Therefore we can suppose the lemma holds

for $i = s - 1$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let's consider the case when $i = s$. We first make the following abbreviation:

$$
\mathcal{A}^p = \mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}^p \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l_1+l_2)} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j_1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j_{l_3}}}}.
$$
(208)

By [\(149\)](#page-38-2) and the well-known formula

$$
\Delta_y = -g^{jk}(y)\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}} + g^{jk}(y)\Gamma_{jk}^\ell(y)\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell}} - \mathcal{D}^p R_y,\tag{209}
$$

where R_y means the curvature homomorphism acts on y, we are able to get an equation which is suitable for induction:

$$
\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} u^{s,p}(x,y) + (s + \frac{r}{4g} \frac{dg}{dr}) u^{s,p}(x,y) =
$$

\n
$$
\left(g^{jk}(y) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}} - g^{jk}(y) \Gamma_{ij}^{\ell}(y) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell}}} + \mathcal{D}^p R_y \right) u^{s-1,p}(x,y).
$$
 (210)

We derive from [\(149\)](#page-38-2) the important fact $\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}u^{s,p}(x,y)=0$, by first applying \mathcal{A}^p and then taking the trace on each side of [\(210\)](#page-52-0) we get

$$
s \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^{p} \text{tr}_{x} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p} \left(u^{s, p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x)
$$

\n
$$
= g^{jk}(y) \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^{p} \text{tr}_{x} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}}} \left(u^{s-1, p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x)
$$

\n
$$
-g^{jk}(y) \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell}(y) \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^{p} \text{tr}_{x} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell}}} \left(u^{s-1, p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x)
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^{p} \text{tr}_{x} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p} \circ \mathcal{D}^{p} R_{y} \left(u^{s-1, p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x).
$$
\n(211)

Since the right hand side of [\(211\)](#page-52-1) vanishes by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x\bigg(\mathcal{A}^p\Big(\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} u^{s,p}(x,y)\Big)\bigg)(x,x) = 0. \tag{212}
$$

Now suppose $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and the lemma holds for $l_3 \leq t - 1$. To make Lemma 5.4.7 available, we shall make use of the fact $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, which can be verified by direct computation.

We finish the proof by first applying \mathcal{A}^p to [\(210\)](#page-52-0) and then taking the trace. Keeping this goal in mind, we carry out this operation term by term in [\(210\)](#page-52-0).

The first term on the left hand side

We derive from [\(212\)](#page-52-2) the fact that $\nabla_{r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_{l_3}}}}$ $(u^{s,p}(x,y)) = 0,$ by applying Lemma 5.4.7 and the induction hypothesis to [\(212\)](#page-52-2), we have

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \left(\mathcal{A}^p \circ \nabla_{r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \left(u^{s,p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x)
$$

$$
= t \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \left(\mathcal{A}^p \left(u^{s,p}(x, y) \right) \right) (x, x).
$$
(213)

The second term on the left hand side

Direct computation gives

$$
\mathcal{A}^{p}\left((s+\frac{r}{4g}\frac{dg}{dr})u^{s,p}(x,y)\right) = \left((s+\frac{r}{4g}\frac{dg}{dr})\mathcal{A}^{p}\left(u^{s,p}(x,y)\right)\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{l_{3}}\sum_{\substack{\epsilon\in\Sigma_{l_{3}}\\\epsilon(l)<\cdots<\epsilon(k)\\\epsilon(k+1)<\cdots<\epsilon(l_{3})}}\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_{\epsilon(1)}}}}\circ\cdots\circ\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_{\epsilon(k)}}}}(s+\frac{r}{4g}\frac{dg}{dr})\right) \newline
$$

$$
D^{p}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(1)}\circ\cdots\circ D^{p}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l_{1}+l_{2})}\circ\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_{\epsilon(k+1)}}}}\circ\cdots\circ\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j_{\epsilon(l_{3})}}}}\left(u^{s,p}(x,y)\right). (214)
$$

We then take the trace and use the induction hypothesis to obtain

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{A}^p \Big(\big(s + \frac{r}{4g} \frac{dg}{dr} \big) u^{s,p}(x, y) \Big) \bigg) (x, x)
$$
\n
$$
= s \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{A}^p \Big(u^{s,p}(x, y) \Big) \bigg) (x, x). \tag{215}
$$

Terms on the right hand side

The first two terms on the right hand side can be treated similarly as the second term on the left hand side, i.e., by direct computation and using the induction hypothesis. We easily get

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{A}^p \circ g^{jk}(y) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}} \Big(u^{s,p}(x, y) \Big) \bigg) (x, x) = 0, \qquad (216)
$$

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{A}^p \circ g^{jk}(y) \Gamma_{jk}^\ell(y) \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\ell}} \Big(u^{s-1,p}(x,y) \Big) \bigg) (x,x) = 0. \tag{217}
$$

For the third term, we use Lemma 5.4.6 and the induction hypothesis to get

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\mathcal{A}^p \circ \mathcal{D}^p R_y \Big(u^{s-1,p}(x,y) \Big) \bigg) (x,x) = 0. \tag{218}
$$

Since $t + s > 0$, we have $\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x$ $\sqrt{ }$ $\mathcal{A}^p(u^{s,p}(x,y))$ $(x,x) = 0$, which finishes the proof. \square PROOF OF THEOREM 5.4.1 By Lemma 5.4.8, $\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{i,p}(x,x) = 0$ for $i < \frac{d}{2}$, we then use [\(210\)](#page-52-0) to compute $\sum_{p=0}^d (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x)$. Apply Lemma 5.4.8 twice to get

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=0}^{d} \text{tr}_x \bigg(\text{D}^p R_y \bigg(u^{\frac{d}{2}-1,p}(x,y) \bigg) \bigg) (x,x)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} g^{jk}(x) \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\text{D}^p R_y \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \circ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}} \bigg(u^{\frac{d}{2}-2,p}(x,y) \bigg) \bigg) (x,x)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} g^{jk}(x) \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell}(x) \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\ell}}} u^{\frac{d}{2}-2,p}(x,y) \bigg) (x,x)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \bigg(\text{D}^p R_y \circ \text{D}^p R_y \bigg(u^{\frac{d}{2}-2,p}(x,y) \bigg) \bigg) (x,x).
$$
 (219)

By Lemma 5.4.8, the first two terms on the right hand side again vanish, therefore we get

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x \left(\text{D}^p R_y \circ \text{D}^p R_y \left(u^{\frac{d}{2}-2,p}(x,y) \right) \right) (x,x). \tag{220}
$$

Proceeding like this we finally get

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \text{tr}_x u^{\frac{d}{2},p}(x,x) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p=0}^{d} (-1)^p \bigg((\mathcal{D}^p R_y)^{\frac{d}{2}} \bigg(u^{0,p}(x,y) \bigg) \bigg) (x,x). \tag{221}
$$

Combine Lemma 5.4.4 and the first Bianchi indentity, the proof is complete. \Box

REMARK

In [\[29\]](#page-57-12), McKean and Singer gave their formula for the index of the Dirac operators (see also [\[10\]](#page-56-6)):

$$
\operatorname{ind}_A(\mathsf{D}) = \operatorname{Tr}\left((-1)^F e^{-t\mathsf{D}^2}\right),\tag{222}
$$

where ind_A denotes the analytic index, and D denotes a Dirac operator. Substitute D by $d+\delta$ in [\(222\)](#page-55-8), the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem then follows as a corollary of the local index theorem.

Actually, based on [\(222\)](#page-55-8), the McKean-Singer conjecture can be raised for all Dirac operators, and a proof of the generalized McKean-Singer conjecture yields the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem. We refer the reader to [\[10\]](#page-56-6) and [\[22\]](#page-56-14) for more details.

References

- [1] Marcelo Aguilar, Samuel Gitler and Carlos Prieto, Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint, Universitext, Springer, 2002.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, Vector Fields on Manifolds, Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen, Dusseldorf 1969, 200, 1970, 7-24.
- [3] C.B. Allendoerfer, The Euler number of a Riemann manifold, Amer. J. Math., 62, 1940, 243-248.
- [4] C.B. Allendoerfer and A. Weil, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian polyhedra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 53, 1943, 101-129.
- [5] P. Baum and J. Cheeger, Infinitesimal isometries and Pontryagin numbers, Topology 8, 1969, 173-193.
- [6] J.C. Becker and D.H. Gottlieb, The Transfer Map and Fiber Bundles, Topology 14, 1975, 1-12.
- [7] D. Bell, The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for Vector Bundles, J. Geom. 85, 2006, 15-21.
- [8] M. Berger, A Panoramic View of Riemannian Geometry, Springer, 2007.
- [9] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, Le Spectre d'une variete riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 194, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [10] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer, 2004.
- [11] R. Bott, Vector fields and characteristic numbers, Michigan Math. J. 14, 1967, 231-244.
- [12] R. Bott and L. Tu, Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer, 1982.
- [13] E. Cartan, Riemannian Geometry in An Orthogonal Frame, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2001.
- [14] S.S. Chern, A Simple Intrinsic Proof of the Gauss-Bonnet Formula for Closed Riemannian Manifolds, Ann. Math. 45 (4), 1944, 747-752.
- [15] S.S. Chern, On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold, Ann. Math. 46, 1945, 674-684.
- [16] S.S. Chern, Characteristic classes of Hermitian manifolds, Ann. of Math., 47, 1946, 85-121.
- [17] S.S. Chern, From Triangles to Manifolds, Amer. Math. Monthly 86, 1979, 339-349.
- [18] S.S. Chern, Complex Manifolds Without Potential Theory, 2nd ed., Universitext, Springer, 1995.
- [19] S. S. Chern, W. H. Chen, and K. S. Lam. Lectures on Differential Geometry, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [20] C. Chevalley, Theory of Lie Groups I, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [21] W. Fenchel, On Total Curvatures of Riemannian Manifolds, J. London Math. Soc., 1940, 1-15 (1), 15-22.
- [22] E. Getzler, A Short Proof of the Local Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem, Topology 25, No. 1, 1986, 111-117.
- [23] P.B. Gilkey, Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem, Mathematical Lecture Series 4, Publish or Perish Press, Berkeley, Ca., 1984.
- [24] A. Hatcher, Vector Bundles and K-theory, on his website http://www.math.cornell.edu/∼hatcher/, Version 2.1, 2009.
- [25] T.A. Ivey and J.M. Landsberg, Cartan for Beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 61, AMS, 2003.
- [26] J. Jost, Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis, 6th ed., Universitext, Springer, 2011.
- [27] I. Madsen and J. Tornehave, From Calculus to Cohomology: de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [28] V. Mathai and D. Quillen, Superconnections, Thom Classes and Equivariant Differential Forms, Topology 25, 1986, 85-110.
- [29] H. McKean and I. M. Singer, Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, J. Differential Geometry 1, 1967, 43-69.
- [30] J. Milnor, Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint, Univ. of Virginia Press, Charlottesville, Virginia, 1965.
- [31] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes, Annals of Mathematical Studies, No. 76, Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [32] V.K. Patodi, Curvature and the Eigenforms of the Laplace Operator, J. Differential Geometry 5, 233-249, 1971.
- [33] S. Rosenberg, The Laplacian on a Riemannian Manifold, An Introduction to Analysis on Manifolds, Cambeidge University Press, 1998.
- [34] P. Shanahan, The Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem: An Introduction, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 638, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1978.
- [35] R.W. Sharpe, Differential Geometry-Cartan's Generalization of Klein's Erlangen Program, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 166, Springer, 1997.
- [36] N. Steenrod, The Topology of Fibre Bundles, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.
- [37] H. Weyl, On the Volume of Tubes, Amer. J. Math., vol. 61, No. 2, 1939, 461-472.
- [38] E. Witten, Supersymmetry and Morse Theory, J. Differential Geometry 17, 1982, 661-692.
- [39] W.P. Zhang, Mathai-Quillen's Thom Form and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem, Chinese Quartly Journel of Mathematics, Vol. 15, No. 4, Dec. 2000, 1-9.
- [40] W.P. Zhang, η -Invariants and the Poincaré-Hopf Index Formula, in Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds X. Eds. W. H. Chen et. al., pp. 336-345, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [41] W.P. Zhang, Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 4, World Scientific, 2001.

Department of Mathematics, NanJing Normal University, 210046, China Email address: yinlee1004@msn.com