

§ Contact forms

$\alpha_\theta(\xi) := \langle \xi, G(\theta) \rangle = \langle d_\theta \pi(\xi), v \rangle_x$ is an one-form of TM

Proposition

$$\Omega = -d\alpha$$

Lemma

Let $\nabla /$ denote the Riemannian connection of the Sasaki metric. Then for all

$\eta \in H(\theta)$ we have that $\nabla /_\eta G \in V(\theta)$

Lemma 1.25. *Let $\nabla /$ denote the Riemannian connection of the Sasaki metric. Then for all $\eta \in H(\theta)$ we have that $\nabla /_\eta G \in V(\theta)$.*

Proof. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame field that is geodesic at $x = \pi(\theta)$ and defined in a neighborhood U of x . This means $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(x) = 0$, for all i and j . Let $X_i(y, w) := (E_i(y), 0)$ be the horizontal lift of the vector field E_i , in other words, $X_i(y, w) = L_{(y,w)}(E_i(y))$. The vector fields $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ are orthonormal relative to the Sasaki metric and they span the horizontal subbundle (where defined) in TM . Note that it suffices to show that $\nabla /_{X_j} G$ belongs to $V(\theta)$ for all j . The geodesic vector field can be written as

$$G(y, w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle E_i(y), w \rangle X_i,$$

hence

$$\nabla /_{X_j} G = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n X_j \langle E_i(y), w \rangle X_i}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle E_i(y), w \rangle \nabla /_{X_j} X_i}_{(ii)}.$$

Since $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion, the horizontal component of $\nabla /_{X_j} X_i(\theta)$ equals $\nabla_{E_j} E_i(x) = 0$, therefore the expression (ii) is vertical. To complete the proof of the lemma we need to show that (i) is vertical. In fact we shall prove that it vanishes.

Let $\alpha_j : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a curve adapted to $E_j(x)$ and let Z_j be the parallel transport of v along α_j . We have

$$X_j \langle E_i(\cdot), \cdot \rangle = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \langle E_i(\alpha_j), Z_j \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\dot{\alpha}_j} E_i, v \rangle = 0. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 1.24. Recall that we can write

$$d\alpha(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1\alpha(\xi_2) - \xi_2\alpha(\xi_1) - \alpha([\xi_1, \xi_2]).$$

Using the definition of α and the symmetry of the connection ∇ we obtain,

$$d\alpha(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1 \langle \xi_2, G \rangle - \xi_2 \langle \xi_1, G \rangle - \langle [\xi_1, \xi_2], G \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

$$= \langle \xi_2, \nabla_{\xi_1} G \rangle - \langle \xi_1, \nabla_{\xi_2} G \rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

We keep the notation of the previous lemma. Let us consider the vector fields $Y_i(y, w) := J_{(y,w)}(X_i(y, w))$. For each (y, w) , the vector fields

$$\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$$

form an orthonormal basis of $T_{(y,w)}TM$. Note that $[Y_i, Y_j]$ is vertical since each Y_i is tangent to the fibres of TM . Therefore using equation (1.1) and the fact that G is horizontal we get

$$d\alpha_\theta|_{V(\theta) \times V(\theta)} = 0.$$

From the lemma and equation (1.2) we obtain

$$d\alpha_\theta|_{H(\theta) \times H(\theta)} = 0.$$

To end the proof it suffices to show that, for all i and j , we have

$$d\alpha_\theta(X_i, Y_j) = -\Omega_\theta(X_i, Y_j).$$